

ON HAHN-BANACH EXTENSION OF LINEAR N-FUNCTIONALS IN N-NORMED SPACES

NEERAJ SRIVASTAVA, S. BHATTACHARYA, AND S. N. LAL

Abstract. In this paper a new Hahn-Banach extension theorem for n -bounded linear n -functionals in complex n -normed linear spaces which generalize all the known results has been established.

1. INTRODUCTION

The results in 2-metric and real 2-normed linear spaces were extended by S. Gähler and A. Misiak to n -metric and real n -normed linear spaces ([1], [2], [3], [4], [7]), where n is any natural number. To develop the theory of n -normed linear spaces, extension theorems of Hahn-Banach type are crucial. It was White [8] who gave the required Hahn-Banach type extension theorem for the case $n = 2$ and in 1999 Malceski [6] gave the extension theorem for the general case. But in all these works only real n -normed linear spaces were considered. For a real development of the theory of n -normed linear spaces, the theory of complex n -normed linear spaces need to be developed. But the transition from real n -normed linear spaces to complex n -normed linear spaces is not trivial, as, for example, for $n = 2$, a 2-normed complex linear space $(E, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ fails to be a 2-normed real linear space simply because for non-zero x in E , though $\|x, ix\| = 0$ but when E is considered as a linear space over reals, $\|x, ix\|$ can not be zero as in this case x, ix are linearly independent elements of E . Another difficulty one may face to get Hahn-Banach type extension theorem for complex n -normed linear spaces from real n -normed linear spaces is that the Bohnenblust-Sobczyk technique fails for even $n = 2$ as described in [5]. In [5] a technique was developed to get complex version of White's result.

In the next section of this paper we establish the complex version of the Malceski's extension theorem for n -normed linear spaces.

Throughout this paper E is a linear space over \mathbf{K} where \mathbf{K} is the field of real or complex numbers.

We note the following :

Definition 1.1. A mapping $\|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\| : E^n \mapsto \mathbf{R}$ is called an n -norm on E , if for all $x_i, y_1 \in E, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{K}$

(1.1) $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\| \geq 0$, and $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\| = 0$ if and only if the set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is linearly dependent;

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Functional Analysis (46).

Key words and phrases. n -norms, n -bounded linear n -functionals.

(1.2) $\|x_1, \dots, x_n\| = \|\pi(x_1), \dots, \pi(x_n)\|$ for every bijection:

$\pi : \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \mapsto \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$;

(1.3) $\|\alpha x_1, \dots, x_n\| = |\alpha| \|x_1, \dots, x_n\|$;

(1.4) $\|x_1 + y_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| \leq \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| + \|y_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|$.

The pair $(E^n, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ is called an n -normed linear space over \mathbf{K} .

Definition 1.2. Let $X_{i, i=1, 2, \dots, n}$ be n -linear subspaces of the linear space E . A mapping $f : X_1 \times \dots \times X_n \mapsto \mathbf{K}$ is said to be a linear n -functional if for all $x_i, y_i \in X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$(1.5) f(x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, \dots, x_n + y_n) = \sum_{\substack{z_i \in \{x_i, y_i\} \\ i=1, 2, \dots, n}} f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$$

$$(1.6) f(\alpha_1 x_1, \alpha_2 x_2, \dots, \alpha_n x_n) = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \text{ for all } \alpha_i \in \mathbf{K}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Definition 1.3. The linear n -functional f is called n -bounded on $X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$ if there exists a $k > 0$ such that for all $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$,

$$(1.7) |f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)| \leq k \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\|.$$

For an n -bounded linear n -functional f with domain $X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$, the infimum of all $k > 0$ satisfying (1.7) is called the norm of f and is denoted by $\|f\|$.

Proposition 1.1. Let f be an n -bounded linear n -functional with domain $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$.

If $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be such that a subset of it is linearly dependent where $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$, then we have $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$.

Proof. Since $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$ is such that $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is linearly dependent as one of its subset is linearly dependent, we have $\|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = 0$. As f is an n -bounded linear n -functional over $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$, there exists a $k > 0$ such that $|f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)| \leq k \|x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\| = 0$, that is, $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0$. \square

Proposition 1.2. Let f be an n -bounded linear n -functional with domain $\prod_{i=1}^n X_i$.

Then

$$(1.8) \|f\| = \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x_1, \dots, x_n)|}{\|x_1, \dots, x_n\|} : (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n X_i \text{ and } \|x_1, \dots, x_n\| \neq 0 \right\}$$

Lemma 1.1. Let $(E, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$ be an n -normed linear space. For all $y, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in E$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{K}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$

$$(1.9) \|y, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = \|y + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i x_i, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|$$

Proof. As E is an n -normed linear space, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|y + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i x_i, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| &\leq \|y, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i x_i, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \right\| \\ &= \|y, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \quad (\text{by definition 1.1}) \end{aligned}$$

Further more,

$$\begin{aligned} \|y, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| &= \left\| y + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i x_i, \left(- \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i x_i \right), x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| y + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i x_i, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \right\| + \left\| - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i x_i, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \right\| \\ &= \left\| y + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i x_i, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \right\| \quad (\text{again by definition 1.1}) \end{aligned}$$

□

Combining the above two inequalities, the Lemma (1.1) follows.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ be a linearly independent subset of E , $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\} \cup \{y_j : j \in I\}$ be a Hamel basis for E and if $N = \text{span}\{y_j : j \in I\}$. Then $\|\cdot\|$ defined on N by $\|x\| = \|x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|$ for $x \in N$ defines a norm on N .*

Proof. For every $x, y \in N, \alpha \in \mathbf{K}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha x\| &= \|\alpha x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \\ &= |\alpha| \|x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \\ &= |\alpha| \|x\| \\ \|x + y\| &= \|x + y, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \\ &\leq \|x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| + \|y, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \\ &= \|x\| + \|y\| \end{aligned}$$

and from the definition of $\|\cdot\|, \|x\| \geq 0$.

Now, let for x in $N, \|x\| = 0$. Then $\|x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = 0$ implies that $\{x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ is linearly dependent and as $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ is linearly independent, x can be represented as a linear combination of $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ implies $x \in [x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$. But as $x \in N$, we have a contradiction if $x \neq 0$. Therefore $x = 0$.

Conversely, if $x = 0$, then as $\{0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ is linearly dependent and we have $\|x\| = \|0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = 0$. Hence, $\|\cdot\|$ defines a norm on N . □

Theorem 1.4. *Let M be a linear subspace of an n -normed linear space E over \mathbf{K} and let $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in E$. If f is an n -bounded linear n -functional on $M \times [x_1] \times \dots \times [x_{n-1}]$, then there exists an n -bounded linear n -functional F on $E \times [x_1] \times \dots \times [x_{n-1}]$ satisfying*

(2.1) $\|F\| = \|f\|$

(2.2) $F(x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}) = f(x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1})$

Proof. Let f be defined on $M \times [x_1] \times \dots \times [x_{n-1}]$. If any $x_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ is the zero of E , then by Proposition 1.1, $f(x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}) = 0$ for every

$(x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}) \in M \times [x_1] \times \dots \times [x_{n-1}]$. And also if $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ is linearly dependent then $\{x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ is also linearly dependent for all $x \in M$ and so, $f(x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}) = 0$ (by Proposition 1.1)

for every $(x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}) \in M \times [x_1] \times \dots \times [x_{n-1}]$. Now by defining F on $E \times [x_1] \times \dots \times [x_{n-1}]$ in both the cases by $F(x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}) = 0$ for every $(x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}) \in E \times [x_1] \times \dots \times [x_{n-1}]$ the theorem follows.

Let now, $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ be linearly independent set. For an index set I , let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\} \cup \{y_j : j \in I\}$ be a Hamel basis for E . If N is the linear subspace of E generated by $\{y_j : j \in I\}$, then $E = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}] \oplus N$. Define a mapping $\|\cdot\|$ on N by $\|x\| = \|x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\|$ for every $x \in N$.

Then by Lemma 1.2, $(N, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed linear space over \mathbf{K} . We now consider two cases separately.

Case 1: Let for each $i, x_i \notin M, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then clearly $M \subset N$. Define \tilde{f} on M by $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ for all $x \in M$. Then \tilde{f} is a linear functional on M and

$$|\tilde{f}(x)| = |f(x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})| \leq \|f\| \|x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\| = \|f\| \|x\|$$

(as f is a n -bounded linear n -functional on $M \times [x_1] \times \dots \times [x_{n-1}]$) that is, \tilde{f} is bounded on M .

Now by Proposition 1.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\| &= \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1})|}{\|x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}\|} : (x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}) \in M \times [x_1] \times \dots \times [x_{n-1}] \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{and } \|x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}\| \neq 0 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \frac{|\tilde{f}(x)|}{\|x\|} : x \in M, \|x\| \neq 0 \right\} \\ &= \|\tilde{f}\| \end{aligned}$$

Appealing to the Hahn-Banach theorem we get a bounded linear functional \tilde{F} on N with

$$(2.3) \quad \|\tilde{F}\| = \|\tilde{f}\| = \|f\| \quad \text{and}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \tilde{F} = \tilde{f} \text{ on } M.$$

Define F on $E \times [x_1] \times \dots \times [x_{n-1}]$ by

$$F(x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}) = \begin{cases} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i \right) \tilde{F}(x) & \text{if } x \in N \\ \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i \right) \tilde{F}(y) & \text{if } x = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_i x_i + y \end{cases}$$

for all $\mu_i \in \mathbf{K}$ and $y \in N$ (as $E = [x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}] \oplus N$).

F is well defined on $E \times [x_1] \times \dots \times [x_{n-1}]$ and is a linear n -functional on $E \times [x_1] \times \dots \times [x_{n-1}]$. For $(x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}) \in M \times [x_1] \times \dots \times [x_{n-1}]$,

$$F(x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}) = \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{n-1} \tilde{F}(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{n-1} \tilde{f}(x) \\ &= f(x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and F satisfies (2.2).

Again, for $x \in E$, let $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_i x_i + y$, where $\mu_i \in \mathbf{K}$ and $y \in N$. For $\lambda_i \in \mathbf{K}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F(x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1})| &= |\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{n-1} \tilde{F}(y)| \\ &\leq |\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}| \|f\| \|y\| \quad (\text{using 2.3}) \\ &= |\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{n-1}| \|f\| \|y, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \\ &= |\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{n-1}| \|f\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_i x_i + y, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \right\| \\ &\quad (\text{using Lemma 1.1}) \\ &= \|f\| \|x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}\| \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that F is an n -bounded linear n -functional on $E \times [x_1] \times \dots \times [x_{n-1}]$ with $\|F\| \leq \|f\|$ and hence $\|F\| = \|f\|$ and (2.1) holds.

Case 2: Let for some $k; i \leq k \leq n-1, x_i, \dots, x_k \in M$. For simplicity, we assume, $x_1, \dots, x_k \in M$. Let $\tilde{N} = [x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{n-1}] \oplus N$ and $P = \tilde{N} \cap M$. Define g on P by $g(x) = f(x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ for $x \in P$ and $\|\cdot\|$ on P by $\|x\| = \|x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|$ for $x \in P$. Then as in case 1, g is a linear functional on P . Again $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on P as, for $x \in P$, we have $x = \sum_{i=k+1}^{n-1} \lambda_i x_i + y, y \in N$ and so,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| = 0 &\Rightarrow \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i x_i + y, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \right\| = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=k+1}^{n-1} \lambda_i x_i + y, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \right\| \right\} \text{ is linearly dependent .} \\ &\Rightarrow \alpha \left(\left\| \sum_{i=k+1}^{n-1} \lambda_i x_i + y \right\| \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i x_i = 0 \\ &\text{for } \alpha, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} \in K, \text{ not all zero.} \\ &\Rightarrow \alpha y + \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i + y + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n-1} (\alpha \lambda_i + \alpha_i) x_i = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \alpha = 0, \alpha_i = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n-1 \end{aligned}$$

and $(\alpha \lambda_i + \alpha_i) = 0$ for $i = k+1, \dots, n-1$ (as $\{y, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ is linearly independent)

$\Rightarrow \alpha = 0, \alpha_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and $\alpha_i = 0$ for $i = k+1, \dots, n-1$. This is

a contradiction if $x \neq 0$ and therefore $x = 0$. It now follows easily that $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on P .

We claim that $\|g\| = \|f\|$. Since $P \subseteq M$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\| &= \sup \left\{ \frac{|g(x)|}{\|x\|}; x \in P, \|x\| \neq 0 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})|}{\|x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|} : x \in P, \|x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \neq 0 \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})|}{\|x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|} : x \in M, \|x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \neq 0 \right\} \\ &= \|f\|. \end{aligned}$$

That is $\|g\| \leq \|f\|$.

Again, for $x \in M$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i x_i + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n-1} \lambda_i x_i + y, \text{ where } y \in N \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i x_i + z, \quad z \in \tilde{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore as $x \in M$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i x_i \in M$ we have $z \in M$ also and so $z \in P$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } \|x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i x_i + z, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \right\| \\ &= \|z, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \quad (\text{by Lemma 1.1}) \\ &= \|z\| \end{aligned}$$

and, $g(z) = f(z, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$

$$\begin{aligned} &= f \left(z + \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i x_i, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \right) - f \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i x_i, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \right) \\ &= f(x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \quad (\text{By Proposition 1.1}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $\|x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \neq 0$ and $x \in M$, we have

$$\frac{|f(x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})|}{\|x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|} = \frac{|g(z)|}{\|z\|} \leq \|g\|,$$

and therefore,

$$\left\{ \frac{|f(x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})|}{\|x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|} : x \in M, \|x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\| \neq 0 \right\} \leq \|g\|$$

that is, $\|f\| \leq \|g\|$ and we finally get $\|g\| = \|f\|$.

Again, appealing to Hahn-Banach theorem, we get a G over E such that $\|G\| = \|g\| = \|f\|$ and $G(x) = g(x)$ for all $x \in P$.

Now, we define $F : E \times [x_1] \times \dots \times [x_{n-1}] \rightarrow \mathbf{K}$ by $F(x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}) = \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{n-1} G(x)$, for $(x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}) \in E \times [x_1] \times \dots \times [x_{n-1}]$.

Then clearly F is a linear n -functional on $E \times [x_1] \times \dots \times [x_{n-1}]$.

Again, for $(x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}) \in E \times [x_1] \times \dots \times [x_{n-1}]$, with $\|x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}\| \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|F(x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1})|}{\|x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}\|} &= \frac{|\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{n-1}| |G(x)|}{|\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{n-1}| \|x, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\|} \\ &= \frac{|G(x)|}{\|x\|} \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that $\|F\| = \|G\| = \|f\|$.

Thus F is an n -bounded linear n -functional over $E \times [x_1] \times \dots \times [x_{n-1}]$ with $\|F\| = \|f\|$.

Note that for $x \in M, x = \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i x_i + z ; \mu_i \in \mathbf{K}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k, z \in \tilde{N}$.

Since $x_1, \dots, x_k \in M$, we have $z \in M$ and so $z \in P$. For $(x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}) \in M \times [x_1] \times \dots \times [x_{n-1}]$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}) &= F\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i x_i + z, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}\right) \\ &= F\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i x_i, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}\right) + F(z, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}) \\ &\text{(as } F \text{ is a linear } n \text{- functional)} \\ &= 0 + \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{n-1} G(z) \quad \text{(By Proposition 1.1)} \\ &= \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{n-1} g(z) \quad \text{as } z \in P \\ &= \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{n-1} f(z, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \\ &= f\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i x_i, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}\right) + f(z, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

(By Proposition 1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} &= f \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i x_i + z, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1} \right) \\ &= f(x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

that is, $F(x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1}) = f(x, \lambda_1 x_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1})$

This completes the proof of the theorem in case 2 and the theorem is completely established. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Gähler, Über 2-Banach Räume, *Math Nachr* **42** (1969), 335-347.
- [2] S. Gähler, Untersuchungen über verallgemeinerte m -metrische Räume I, *Math. Nachr.* **40** (1969), 165-169.
- [3] S. Gähler, Untersuchungen über verallgemeinerte m -metrische Räume II, *Math. Nachr.* **40** (1969), 229-264.
- [4] S. Gähler, Untersuchungen über verallgemeinerte m -metrische Räume III, *Math. Nachr.* **41** (1970), 23-36.
- [5] S.N. Lal, S. Bhattacharya, C. Sreedhar, Complex 2-normed linear spaces and extension of linear 2-functionals, *Zeitschrift für Analysis und ihre Anwendungen*, **20** (2001), 35-53.
- [6] R. Małčeski, The Hahn-Banach theorem for bounded n -linear functionals, *Mat. Bil.* **23** (1999), 47-58.
- [7] A. Misiak, n -Inner product spaces, *Math. Nachr.* **140** (1989), 299-319.
- [8] A. White, 2-Banach spaces, *Math. Nachr.* **42** (1969), 43-60.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, BANARAS HINDU UNIVERSITY, VARANASI-221005, INDIA

E-mail address: neeraj_bhuin@yahoo.co.in