Математички Билтен 14 (XL) 1990 (39-46) Скопје, Југославија

RECTANGULAR 2-BANDS

B. Trpenovski

Abstract. In this note we generalize the notion of the rectangular band from binary to ternary operation giving two structure descriptions for the generalized case: first in terms of a ternary groupoid and afterwards in terms of a semigroup.

<u>l</u>. Let S be a 2-groupoid, i.e. a non-empty set S with a ternary operation (...) defined on S. We call S an <u>anticyclic</u> 2-groupoid iff: $(xyz) = (yzx) = (zxy) \Longrightarrow x = y = z$.

Let μ be an equivalence relation on S. We call S a <u>weak associative 2-groupoid with respect to μ iff the following hold:</u>

$$((xyz)uv) = (x(yzu)v) \iff z\mu u,$$
 (A1)

$$((xyz)uv) = (xy(zuv)) \iff y\mu u, \tag{A2}$$

$$(x(yzu)v) = (xy(zuv)) \iff y\mu z.$$
 (A3)

 $\underline{\text{Lemma 1}}$. Every anticyclic weak associative 2-groupoid S is an idempotent 2-groupoid.

Proof. For every aGS we have that

$$((aaa)aa) = (a(aaa)a) = (aa(aaa)),$$

which implies that (aaa) = a. ||

Let us define the equivalence relation μ on S as follows:

$$x\mu y \iff (\forall a,bes) (axb) = (ayb).$$

An anticyclic weak associative 2-groupoid S is said to be a $\underline{\text{rectangular}} \ 2-\underline{\text{band}} \ \text{if the equivalence relation} \ \mu \ \text{is defined as}$ above. From now on S will stand for a rectangular 2-band.

From the definition of μ and Lemma 1 it follows that:

Lemma 2. If
$$x\mu y$$
, x , $y\in S$, then $(xyx) = x$. ||

39

Example. Let A, B and C be non-empty sets and let us define a ternary operation [...] on S = AxBxC by

$$[(a_1,b_1,c_1)(a_2,b_2,c_2)(a_3,b_3,c_3)] = (a_1,b_2,c_3),$$

 a_jeA , b_jeB , c_jeC . It is easily seen that S is a rectangular 2-band; we shall denote this rectangular 2-band by $S = [A,B,C]_{pr}$.

Lemma 3. Each equivalence class of S (mod μ) is an anticommutative 2-semigroup.

<u>Proof.</u> Let S' be an equivalence class of S modulo μ and let x,y,zeS'. Let u,veS. Then we have that:

$$(u(xyz)v) = ((uxy)zv) = ((uyy)zv) = (uy(yzv)) = (uy(yyv)) = (u(yyy)v) = (uyv)$$

which shows that $(xyz)_{\mu}y$, i.e. $(xyz) \in S'$ meaning that S' is a 2-subgroupoid of S.

Since S' is, obviously associative, i.e. 2-semigroup, it remains to prove the anticommutativity of S': if for some yes', (xyz) = (zyx), then x = z (see [2]). Really, we have that

```
x = (xxx) = (xyx) = (x(yzy)x) = ((xyz)yx) = ((zyx)yx) =
= (zy(xyx)) = (zyx) = (z(yzy)x) = (zy(zyx)) =
= (zy(xyz)) = (z(yxy)z) = (zyz) = z. ||
```

Lemma 4. For every x,y,zes, (xyz)µy.

Proof. Let (xxz) = u; then,

$$(xxu) = (xx(xxz)) = ((xxx)xz) = (xxz) = u,$$

and from (x(xxu)x) = (xux) = ((xxx)ux), accroding to (A1), it follows that $u\mu x$, i.e. $(xxz)\mu x$. Similarly we get that $(xyy)\mu y$.

If vuy, because of (yyz) uy, from

$$(vyz) = (v(yyy)z) = (vy(yyz))$$

if tollows that $(vyz)_{\mu}y$ since v,y and (yyz) all belong to the same equivalence class (Lemma 3).

Finally,

$$(xyz) = (x(yyy)z) = ((xyy)yz) = (vyz)\mu y,$$

since (xyy) = vµy. ||

Theorem 1. Every two equivalence classes of S (mod μ) are isomorphic.

 $\underline{\text{Proof}}$. Let S^a and S^b be two equivalence classes with $a \in S^a$, $b \in S^b$. If we put $f_{ab}(x) = (xbx)$, $x \in S^a$, we have that $f_{ab}(x) \in S^b$ (Lemma 4), and, so, f_{ab} is a mapping from S^a to S^b .

Let $f_{ab}(x) = f_{ab}(y)$, $x,y \in S^a$; let (xbx) = c = (yby). We have that

$$(exc) = ((xbx)xc) = (x(bxx)c) = (xxc) =$$

= $(xx(xbx)) = (x(xxb)x) = (xxx) = x,$

since (bxx), (xxb) µx. So,

$$(cxc) = x$$
, and similarly, $(ycy) = y$ (1)

Since buc, we have that

$$(ycy) = c, (xcx) = c.$$
 (2)

Now, taking into account (1) and (2) we get:

$$x = (exc) = ((yey)xe) = (y(eyx)e) = (yye) = (yy(yey)) = (y(yye)y) = (yyy) = y.$$

Thus, fab is an injection.

If zes^b and if we put x = (zaz) then xes^a and

$$f_{ab}(x) = (xbx) = ((zaz)bx) = (z(azb)x) = (zzx) =$$

= $(zz(zaz)) = (z(zza)z) = (zzz) = z$

which shows that fab is a surjection, also.

Finally, let x,y,zesa. Then,

$$(f_{ab}(x)f_{ab}(y)f_{ab}(z)) = ((xbx)(yby)(zbz)) = ((xbx)b(zbz) = (xb(xb(zbz))) = (xb((xbz)bz)) = (x(bb)z) = (xbz).$$

On the other hand if we put (xbx) = u, (zbz) = v, then according to (1) and (2) we have that x = (uxu), z = (vzv) where u,ves^b which, according to Lemma 4, implies (zvb), (buv), (yvb) and (buy) all belong to s^b . Thus,

```
 \begin{split} f_{ab}(xyz) &= ((xyz)b(xyz)) = ((xy(vzv))b(xyz) = \\ &= (((xyv)zv)b(xyz)) = ((xyv)(zvb)(xyz)) = \\ &= ((xyv)b(xyz)) = ((xyv)b((uxu)yz)) = ((xyv)b(ux(uyz))) = \\ &= ((xyv)(byx)(uyz)) = ((xyv)b(uyz)) = (x(yvb)(uyz)) = \\ &= (xb(uyz)) = (x(buy)z) = (xbz). \end{split}  So, f_{ab}(xyz) = (f_{ab}(x)f_{ab}(y)f_{ab}(z)). II  \underline{Lemma~5}. \ Let \ xes^a, \ yes^b, \ zes^c. \ Then, \\ &(xyz) = (f_{ab}(x)yf_{ab}(z)). \end{split}
```

Proof. Since (xyz) µb and bµy,

$$(f_{ab}(x)yf_{cb}(z)) = ((xbx)y(zbz)) = (xb(xy(zbz))) = (xb((xyz)bz) = (x(b(xyz)b)z) = (x(bbb)z) = (xbz) = (xyz).$$

Theorem 2. A 2-groupoid S is a rectangular 2-band iff there exist non-empty sets, A,B and C such that $S = [A,B,C]_{pr}$.

<u>Proof.</u> For every anticommutative 2-semigroup G there exists a rectangular band G(o) such that for every $x,y,z\in G$, (xyz)=xoy (see [2]). On the other hand (see, for example [1]), every rectangular band is isomorphic to a direct product AxC of a left-zero semigroup A and a right-zero semigroup C. Thus, every anticommutative 2-semigroup G is 2-isomorphic to a rectangular band AxC, i.e. there is a bijection $g: G \to AxC$ such that, for every $x,y,z\in G$, g(xyz)=g(x)og(z)=g(x)og(y)og(z).

Let us return, now, to the rectangular 2-band S; Let S^{b_1} and S^{b_2} be two equivalence classes of S (S^{b_1} and S^{b_2} are anticommutative 2-semigroups according to Lemma 3). Hence, there is a 2-isomorphism $h_1: S^{b_1} \to AxC$, where A and C are, respectively, a leftzero and a right-zero semigroups. Let $f_{12}: S^{b_1} \to S^{b_2}$ be the iso-

morphism of Theorem 1. Then $h_2 = h_1 f_{12}^{-1} : S^{b_2} \rightarrow AxC$ will be a 2-isomorphism such that: if xeS^{b_1} , $h_1(x) = (a,c)$ and if $y = f_{12}(x)$, $h_2(y) = h_1(f_{12}^{-1}(y)) = h_1(x) = (a,c)$.

Let B be the index set for the family of all equivalence classes of S (mod μ):

$$s = \bigcup \{s^b \mid beB\}$$

and let us define a ternary operation [...] on $\overline{S}=AxBxC$ as in the Example. Let $\phi\colon S\to \overline{S}$ be a mapping defined in the following way: if xeS^{b_1} and $h_1(x)=(a,c)$, then $\phi(x)=(a,b_1,c)$. It is obvious that ϕ is a bijection. If x,y,zeS, where xeS^{b_1} , yeS^{b_2} , zeS^{b_3} and $h_1(x)=(a_1,c_1)$, $h_2(y)=(a_2,b_2)$, $h_3(z)=(a_3,c_3)$, then $\phi(x)=(a_1,b_1,c_1)$, $\phi(y)=(a_2,b_2,c_2)$, $\phi(z)=(a_3,b_3,c_3)$ and, in \overline{S} , we get

$$[\phi(x)\phi(y)\phi(z)] = [(a_1,b_1,c_1)(a_2,b_2,c_2)(a_3,b_3,c_3)] = (a_1,b_2,c_3).$$

On the other hand, according to Lemma 5, we have that

$$(xyz) = (f_{12}(x)yf_{32}(z)es^{b_2}, and$$

$$h_2(xyz) = (h_2f_{12})(x)oh_2(y)o(h_2f_{32})(z) =$$

= $(h_2f_{12})(x)o(h_2f_{32})(z)$ in AxC.

Since $h_2 = h_1 f_{12}^{-1}$, or $h_1 = h_2 f_{12}$, as we proved above, and similarly $h_3 = h_2 f_{32}$, we have that

$$(h_2f_{12})(x) = h_1(x) = (a_1,c_1), (h_2f_{32})(z) = h_3(z) = (a_3,c_3),$$

and, then,

$$h_2(xyz) = (a_1,c_1)o(a_3,c_3) = (a_1,c_3),$$

which, according to the definition of \$\phi\$ means that

$$\phi(xyz) = (a_1, b_2, c_3),$$

and, therefore, $\phi(xyz)=\left[\phi(x)\phi(y)\phi(z)\right]$ which proves that $\phi\colon S\to \overline{S}$ is an isomorphism. The converse is obvious. ||

2. Here we shall give another structure description for a rectangular 2-band S.

Lemma 6. Let f_{12} : $S^{b_1} + S^{b_2}$ and f_{23} : $S^{b_2} + S^{b_3}$ be the isomorphisms defined in Theorem 1. Then $f_{23}f_{12} = f_{13}$ and $f_{12}f_{21} = \varepsilon_{b_3}$.

<u>Proof.</u> Let $f_{12}(x) = (xbx) = y$, xes^{b_1} , b, yes^{b_2} , $f_{23}(y) = (ycy) = z$, c, zes^{b_3} . Then (xbx) = y implies (yxy) = x, (xyx) = y, and (ycy) = z implies (zyz) = y, (yzy) = z (Theorem 1). Now,

$$\begin{split} f_{23}f_{12}(x) &= (ycy) = ((xbx)cy) = ((xb(yxy))cy) = ((x(byx)y)cy) = \\ &= ((xyy)cy) = ((xy(zyz))cy) = (((xyz)yz)cy) = \\ &= ((xyz)(yzc)y) = ((xyz)cy) = (x(yzc)y) = (xcy) = \\ &= (xc(xbx)) = (xc((yxy)bx) = (xc(y(xyb)x)) = \\ &= (xc(yyx)) = (xc((zyz)yx)) = (xc(zy(zyx))) = \\ &= (x(czy)(zyx)) = (xc(zyx)) = (x(czy)x) = (xcx) = f_{13}(x), \end{split}$$

i.e. $f_{23}f_{12}=f_{13}$. From this is follows that $f_{12}f_{21}(y)=(yby)=y$, i.e. $f_{12}f_{21}=\varepsilon_{b_2}$.

Let us observe that the isomorphisms $f_{ij} \colon S^i \to S^j$, i,jeB, between the anticommutative 2-semigroups can be considered as isomorphisms between the corresponding rectangular bands $S^i(o_i)$ and $S^j(o_i)$ (see proof of Theorem 2): if x,y,zeSⁱ,

$$f_{ij}(xo_iy) = f_{ij}(xyz) = (f_{ij}(x)f_{ij}(y)f_{ij}(z)) =$$

= $f_{ij}(x)o_jf_{ij}(z)$.

Thus, for every rectangular 2-band S there exist a family of rectangular bands R = $\{S^j(o_j) \mid j \in B\}$ and a family F = $\{f_{ij} : S^i + S^j \mid i, j \in B\}$ of isomorphisms such that $f_{jk}f_{ij} = f_{ik}$, $f_{ij}f_{ji} = \epsilon_j$ (ϵ_j - identity on S^j) and, according to Lemma 5,

$$(xyz) = (f_{ij}(x)yf_{kj}(z) = f_{ij}(x)o_{j}f_{kj}(z).$$

Conversely, let R = $\{S^j(o_j) \mid j \in B\}$ be a family of mutually isomorphic rectangular bands and F = $\{f_{ij} : S^i \rightarrow S^j \mid i, j \in B\}$ a family of isomorphisms such that $f_{jk}f_{ij} = f_{ik}$ and $f_{ij}f_{ji} = \varepsilon_j$. Let $S = \bigcup \{S^j \mid j \in B\}$ and define a ternary operation on S as follows:

if xesⁱ, yes^j, zes^k then (xyz) = $f_{ij}(x) \circ_j f_{kj}(z)$. We should denote this algebraic structure on S by S = [R,F,(...)]. Let μ be the equivalence in S which corresponds to the partition R of S. Now,

(i) Let
$$xes^i$$
, yes^j , z,ues^k , ves^m (hence $z_\mu u$). Then

$$\begin{split} ((xyz)uv) &= ((f_{ij}(x)o_{j}f_{kj}(z))uv) = f_{jk}(f_{ij}(x)o_{j}f_{kj}(z))o_{k}f_{mk}(v) = \\ &= (f_{jk}f_{ij}(x)o_{k}f_{jk}f_{kj}(z))o_{k}f_{mk}(v) = \\ &= (f_{ik}(x)o_{k}z)o_{k}f_{mk}(v) = f_{ik}(x)o_{k}zo_{k}f_{mk}(v) = f_{ik}(x)o_{k}f_{mk}(v), \end{split}$$

and, from the other hand,

$$(x(yzu)v) = (x(f_{jk}(y)o_ku)v) = f_{ik}(x)o_kf_{mk}(v)$$
, hence
 $((xyz)uv) = (x(yzu)v)$.

Conversely, if ((xyz)uv) = (x(yzu)v) and zes^k , $ues^{k'}$ (the other elements as before), repeating the above calculations we get that

 $((xyz)uv) = f_{ik}, (x)o_k, f_{mk}, (v)es^{k'}, (x(yzu)v) = f_{ik}(x)o_k f_{mk}(v)es^{k}$ which implies k'=k, i.e. zuu.

$$\begin{split} ((xyz)uv) &= ((f_{ij}(x)\circ_{j}f_{kj}(z))uv) = f_{ij}(f_{ij}(x)\circ_{j}f_{kj}(z))\circ_{j}f_{mj}(v) = \\ &= f_{ij}(x)\circ_{j}f_{kj}(z)\circ_{j}f_{mj}(v) = f_{ij}(x)\circ_{j}f_{mj}(v), \text{ and } \end{split}$$

$$(xy(zuv)) = f_{ij}(x)o_{j}(f_{kj}(z)o_{j}f_{mj}(v)) = f_{ij}(x)o_{j}f_{mj}(v)$$

so that $((xyz)uv) = (xy(zuv))$.

For the converse part, if xes^i , yes^j , ues^j , zes^k , ves^m we have that $((xyz)uv) = f_{ij}$, $(x)o_j$, f_{mj} , (v), $(xy(zuv)) = f_{ij}(x)o_j$, $f_{mj}(v)$ and ((xyz)uv) = (xy(zuv)) implies j=j', i.e. yuu.

(iii) Let xesi, y,zesj, uesk, vesm; then,

$$(x(yzu)v) = (x(f_{ij}(y)o_{j}f_{kj}(u))v) = f_{ij}(x)o_{j}f_{mj}(v),$$

$$\begin{split} (xy(zuv)) &= (xy(f_{jk}(z)o_kf_{mk}(v)) = f_{ij}(x)o_jf_{kj}(f_{jk}(z)o_kf_{mk}(v)) = \\ &= f_{ij}(x)o_j(f_{kj}f_{jk}(z)o_jf_{kj}f_{mk}(v)) = \\ &= f_{ij}(x)o_j(f_{jj}(z)o_jf_{mj}(v)) = f_{ij}(x)o_jf_{mj}(v), \end{split}$$

so that, (x(yzu)v) = (xy(zuv)).

On the other hand, if xes^i , yes^j , $zes^{j'}$, ues^k , ves^m , then $(x(yzu)v) = f_{ij'}(x)o_j, f_{mj'}(v)$, $(xy(zuv)) = f_{ij}(x)o_j f_{mj}(v)$ and j=j', or yuz, if (x(yzu)v) = (xy(zuv)).

Now we shall prove that $S = [R,F,(\ldots)]$ is anticyclic. Let xes^i , yes^j , zes^k and (xyz) = (yzx) = (zxy). This means that $f_{ij}(x)o_jf_{kj}(z) = f_{jk}(y)o_kf_{ik}(x) = f_{ki}(z)o_if_{ji}(y)$ which is possible only if i=j=k and we have that $xo_iz=yo_ix=zo_iy$. From $xo_iz=yo_ix$ it follows that $xo_izo_ix = yo_ixo_ix$, i.e. $x=yo_ix$, and, since $yo_ix=zo_iy$, $x=zo_iy$ and then, $xo_iy=zo_iyo_iy=zo_iy$. Since $zo_iy=yo_ix$ we finally get $xo_iy=yo_ix$ which, because of the anticommutativity of S^i implies x=y. Similarly, we can conclude that y=z.

From the above considerations we have that:

Theorem 3. i) Let S be a rectangular 2-band. Then there exist a family R = $\{S^j \mid j \in B\}$ of anticommutative, disjoint and mutually isomorphic semigroups and a family F = $\{f_{ij} : S^i + S^j \mid i,j \in B\}$, of isomorphisms where $f_{ij}f_{ki} = f_{kj}$, $f_{ij}f_{ji} = \epsilon_j$, such that: if xeSⁱ, yeS^j, zeS^k, then

$$(xyz) = f_{ij}(x)o_j f_{kj}(z),$$
 where "o_j" is the operation in S^j. (3)

Conversely, let $R=\{S^j(o_j)\mid j\in B\}$ be a family of disjoint and mutually isomorphic anticommutative semigroups (rectangular bands), and $F=\{f_{ij}\colon S^i\to S^j\mid i,j\in B\}$ a family of isomorphisms such that $f_{ij}f_{ki}=f_{kj},\ f_{ij}f_{ji}=\varepsilon_j$. If we define in $S=\bigcup\{S^j\mid j\in B\}$ a ternary operation with (3), then S will turn out to be a rectangular 2-band. If

REFERENCES

- [1] Ljapin S.E.: Semigroups, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1960 (in Russian)
- [2] Trpenovski B.L.: On anticommutative n-semigroups, God. Zb. EMF, Skopje, T.1, 1967, 33-35 (in Macedonian)

ПРАВОАГОЛНИ 2-ЛЕНТИ

Б. Трпеновски

Резиме

Главниот резултат на работава е содржан во теоремите 2 и 3 со кои се дава опис на структурата на правоаголните 2-ленти, воведени како обопштување на правоаголните ленти (антикомутативните полугрупи од идемпотенти).