THE PROBLEM OF SOLVABILITY OF POLYLINEAR REPRESENTATIONS OF UNIVERSAL ALGEBRAS IN SEMIROUPS

G. Čupona, S. Markovski, B. Janeva

The problem of effectivness of different kinds of embeddings of universal algebras in semigroups is treated in this paper.

1. Consider an Ω -algebra $\mathbf{A} = (A; \Omega)$, i.e. $\Omega = \bigcup \{\Omega(n) \mid n \geqslant 1\}$ is a set of finitary operators such that $n \neq m \Rightarrow \Omega(n) \cap \Omega(m) = \emptyset$, and every *n*-ary operator $\omega \in \Omega(n)$ induces an *n*-ary operation ω_A on A. (We will use the same notation for an operator and the corresponding operation in the algebra, i.e. we will write $\omega(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ instead of $\omega_A(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$.) We associate three semigroups to the algebra \mathbf{A} as follows:

$$\mathbf{A}_{1}^{\triangle} = \langle A \cup \Omega \mid \{ a = \omega \ a_{1} \dots a_{n} \mid a = \omega \ (a_{1}, \dots, a_{n}) \text{ in } \mathbf{A} \rangle \quad (1.1)$$

$$\mathbf{A}_2^{\triangle} = \langle A \cup \Omega^{\wedge} \mid \{a = \omega_0 \, a_1 \, \omega_1 \dots \, a_n \, \omega_n | a = \omega(a_1, \dots) \}$$

$$\ldots, a_n \text{ in } A \} > \tag{1.2}$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{2}^{\triangle} = \langle A \cup \Omega \mid \{a = a_{1} \omega a_{2} \dots a_{n} \mid a = \omega (a_{1}, \dots, a_{u}) \text{ in } \mathbf{A}\} \rangle$$
 (1.3)

It is assumed in (1.2) that for any $\omega \in \Omega$ (n), $\omega^{\wedge} = \{\omega_0, \ldots, \omega_n\}$ is a set with n+1 elements such that $\omega^{\wedge} \cap \tau^{\wedge} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \omega = \tau$, and $\Omega^{\wedge} = \bigcup \{\omega^{\wedge} \mid \omega \in \Omega\}$. It is also assumed that $\Omega(1) = \emptyset$ in (1.3).

We notice that, in all the above presentations, the right-hand sides of the defining relations have greater lengths than the ones on the left-hand sides. So, we can define reduced words to be those words which have no subwords which are the right-hand sides of the defining relations. It is clear that for any word u there is a reduced word \bar{u} , such that \bar{u} is obtained from \bar{u} by a finite application of the defining relations.

It is easy to prove the following

Theorem 1.1. The irreducible representative \bar{u} for any word u is uniquely defined in (1.1) and (1.2) for every algebra A. Every word has a unique irreducible representative in (1.3) iff the algebra A statisfies the identities

 $\omega \tau (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+n-1}) = \tau(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, \omega (x_m, \dots, x_{m+n-1}))$ (1.4) where $\omega \in \Omega$ (n), $\tau \in \Omega$ (m).

An Ω -algebra A is said to be recursive iff A and Ω are recursive sets, and every operation $\omega_A: A^n \to A$ induced by $\omega \in \Omega(n)$ is recursive. As a consequence of Theorem 1.1 we have:

Corollary 1.2. If the algebra A is recursive, then the semigroups A_1^{\triangle} and A_2^{\triangle} are also recursive; furthermore, if the algebra A satisfies the identities (1.4), then A_3^{\triangle} is recursive as well.

Since the elements of the set A are reduced in all of the presentations (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3), we have:

Corollary 1.3. For any Ω -algebra A there exists a semigroup S such that $A \cup \Omega \subseteq S$ and the equality

$$\omega(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) = \omega a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n$$

holds for every $\omega \in \Omega(n)$, $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$.

Corollary 1.4. For any Ω -algebra A there exists a semigroup S and a mapping $\omega \to \omega^{\wedge} = (\omega_0, \ldots, \omega_n)$ of Ω into $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S^n$ such that $\omega \in \Omega(n)$ $\Rightarrow \omega^{\wedge} \in S^{n+1}$, $A \subseteq S$ and the equality

$$\omega(a_1,\ldots,a_n)=\omega_0\,a_1\,\omega_1\ldots a_n\,\omega_n$$

holds for every $\omega \in \Omega(n), a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$.

Corollary 1.5. If the algebra A satsfies the identities (1.4), then there exists a semigroup S such that $A \cup \Omega \subset S$ and the equality

$$\omega(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) = a_1 \omega a_2 \ldots a_n$$

holds for every $\omega \in \Omega(n)$, $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in A$.

Namely, we can take S to be the semigroup A_1^{\triangle} , A_2^{\triangle} and A_3^{\triangle} in the corresponding cases.

Remark that Corollary 1.3 is the well known Cohn-Rebane's theorem-([2], [7]) and Corollary 1.5 is proved in [3].

2. We will consider here more general representations of Ω -algebras into semigroups, and (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) will be special cases of them.

Let Ω be a set of finitary operations, C be a given set and $e \notin \Omega \cup C$. Assume that for any $\omega \in \Omega$ (n) we have a sequence $\omega^{\triangle} = (\omega_0, \omega_0)$

 $\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_n$), where $\omega_i \in C \cup \{e\}$. If A is a given Ω -algebra with a carrier A, then we consider the semigroup A^{\triangle} given by the following presentation:

$$\mathbf{A}^{\triangle} = \langle A \cup C; \{ a = \omega_0 \, a_1 \, \omega_1 \dots \omega_{n-1} a_n \, \omega_n \mid a = \\ = \omega \, (a_1, \dots, a_n) \text{ in } \mathbf{A} \, \} >$$
 (2.1)

We suppose that e is the empty word in (2.1), i.e. if $\omega_t = e$ for some i, then we do not write ω_i on the corresponding right-hand side of the defining relation. (We say that \triangle is the kind of the polylinearity)

It is clear that (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) are special cases of (2.1). Namely, if $C = \Omega$ and $\omega^{\triangle} = (\omega, e, \ldots, e)$ ($\omega^{\triangle} = (e, \omega, e, \ldots, e)$), we obtain (1.1) ((1.3)). If $\omega_i \neq e$ for every $\omega \in \Omega$ (n), $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\omega_i = \tau_j \Leftrightarrow \omega = \tau, i = j$, then we obtain (1.2).

The reduced words could be defined as above, and so we have

Theorem 2.1. Let the algebra A be defined such that for any word u in the presentation (2.1) there exists a unique reduced representative u.

Then, if the algebra A is recursive, the semigroup A^{\triangle} is recursive as well.

We are looking now for conditions under which we can have a unique reduced representatives for a given word.

Define the set of Ω -words, which is a subset of $(A \cup C) + 1$, in this inductive way:

- (i) every element of A is an Ω -word;
- (ii) if u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n are Ω -words and $\omega \in \Omega(n)$, then $\omega_0 u_1 \omega_1 u_2 \ldots \omega_{n-1} u_n \omega_n$ is an Ω -word;
- (iii) a word $u \in (A \cup C)^+$ is an Ω -word iff it is obtained by a finite application of (i) and (ii).

Let A be an Ω -algebra. For every Ω -word u let us define its value $[u] \in A$ as follows:

$$a \in A \Rightarrow [a] = a;$$

if $\omega \in \Omega(n)$ and u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n are Ω -words with values

 $[u_i]=b_i,\ i=1,2,\ldots,n,$ then $b=\omega\,(b_1,b_2,\ldots,b_n)$ is one value of the Ω -word $u=\omega_0\,u_1\,\omega_1\ldots\omega_{n-1}\,u_n\,\omega_n$. Thus the value of an Ω -word need not be uniquely defined.

It is clear what we mean by "an Ω -word u is a maximal Ω -subword of a given word v". (Note that u can have both maximal and non maximal appearances in v.)

We can formulate now the wanted condition:

¹⁾ B+ is the free semigroup on B.

⁵ Годишен зборник

Theorem 2.2. Let the algebra A and A satisfy the conditions:

1) Every word $v \in (A \cup C)^+$ can be represented uniquely in the form

$$v = \alpha_0 u_1 \alpha_1 u_2 \dots \alpha_{p-1} u_p \alpha_p \tag{2.2}$$

where $\alpha_v \in C^{*1}$ and u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_p are maximal Ω -subwords of v. (We say that (2.2) is a canonical representation of v.)

2) Every Ω -word u has a uniquely defined value [u].

Define a relation \approx on $(A \cup C)^+$ as follows: $v \approx w$ iff v has a canonical representation of the form (2.2), w has a canonical representation

$$w = \alpha_0 \ u'_1 \ \alpha_1 u'_2 \ldots \alpha_{p-1} u'_p \alpha_p$$

and $[u'_i] = [u_i]$ for i = 1, 2, ..., p.

Then \approx is an equivalence on $(A \cup C)^+$.

If it is satisfied the condition

3) \approx is a congruence on the semigroup $(A \cup C)^+$, then A^{\perp} is isomorphic to $(A \cup C)^+ / \approx$ and every word ν with a canonical representation (2.2) has uniquely defined reduced representation

$$v = \alpha_0 a_1 \alpha_1 a_2 \dots \alpha_{p-1} a_p \alpha_p \qquad (2.2)$$

where $[u_i] = a_i, i = 1, 2, ..., p$.

The condition 3) is independent from 1) and 2). Namely, let $\Omega = \{\tau, \omega\}$, where $\omega \in \Omega$ (3), $\tau \in \Omega$ (4), and let $\omega^{\triangle} = (e, e, e, e)$, $\tau^{\triangle} = (e, e, e, e, e, e)$, $C = \emptyset$. Then if $u \in A$ or $u = a_1 a_2 \dots a_p$, $p \geqslant 3$, u is an Ω -word, and if u = ab, $a, b \in A$, then a and b are maximal subwords of u. Thus, 1) is satisfied for any algebra $\mathbf{A} = (A; \omega, \tau)$. The condition 2) is satisfied iff \mathbf{A} satisfies the general associative law, i.e. iff \mathbf{A} is an associative ([1], [4]). But, there are associatives which do not satisfy 3).

For example, let $A = \{a, b, c\}$ and ω $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = a$ for every $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in A$, τ $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = a$ for every $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in A$ such that $x_i \neq c$ for at least one i, and τ (c, c, c, c) = b. Then $(A; \omega, \tau)$ is an associative ([4]), but the relation \approx is not a congruence, since $c^3 \approx a^3$, $c^4 \approx b$, $a^3c \approx a$, but a and b are not equivalent.

Notice that the condition 1) depends on \triangle , but not on the considered algebra, and that 2) is satisfied iff the algebra A satisfies some corresponding system of identities. Thus 2) is satisfied in (1.3) iff the algebra A satisfies the identities (1.4).

3. Assume that the Ω -algebra A has a presentation

$$\mathbf{A} = \langle B; \Lambda \rangle \tag{3.1}$$

¹⁾ B* is the free monoid on B.

67

in the class of all Ω -algebras, or in some variety of Ω -algebras. We want to give a presentation of the semigroup A^{\triangle} , for a given \wedge .

Define the set Ω_A of Ω -terms without variables to be the intersection of all subsets H of $(A \cup C)^+$ with the properties

(ii)
$$\omega \in \Omega(n)$$
, $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in H \Rightarrow \omega \xi_1 \ldots \xi_n \in H$.

For every Ω -term ξ without variables we have an Ω -word $\xi \triangle$, the "translation" of ξ , obtained in this inductive way:

The translation Λ^{\triangle} of Λ is defined by

$$\Lambda^{\triangle} = \{ \xi^{\triangle} = \eta^{\triangle} \, | \, \xi = \eta \in \Lambda \}$$

Now, we have the following results:

Theorem 3.1 If the algebra A has the presentation (3.1), then the semigroup A^{\triangle} has the presentation

$$\mathbf{A}^{\triangle} = \langle B \cup C \mid \Lambda^{\triangle} \rangle \,. \tag{3.2}$$

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that the conditions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. Then the presentation (3.1) is solvable iff the presentation (3.2) is solvable.

We notice that an n-group is recursive iff its universal covering is recursive ([6], [5]), but it is not known whether the same result is true when n-semigroups are considered.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Celakoski: On semigroup associatives, MANU, Contributions IX. 2, 1977, 5-19.
- [2] P. M. Cohn: Universal Algebra, Harper and Row, New York, 1965.
- [3] G. Čupona: On some primitive classes of universal algebras, Matem. Ves. 3 (18), 1966, 105-108.
- [4] G. Čupona: On Associatives, MANU, Contributions I. 1, 1969, 9-20.
- [5] G. Čupona, N. Celakoski: Polyadic subsemigroups of semigroups, Algebraic Conference Skopje, 1980, 131—151.
- Janeva: Word problem for n-groups, Proc. Sym. on n-ary structures, Skopje, 1982, B. 157—159.
- [7] А. Г. Курош: Общая алгебра, Москва 1974.

ПРОБЛЕМОТ НА РЕШЛИВОСТ НА ПОЛИЛИНЕАРНИТЕ ПРЕТСТАВУВАЊА НА УНИВЕРЗАЛНИ АЛГЕБРИ ВО ПОЛУГРУПИ

Г. Чуйопа, С. Марковски, Б. Јапева

Една Ω -алгебра (A,Ω) е полилинеарна подалгебра од полугрупа (S,\cdot) акко $A\subseteq S$ и за секои $\omega\in\Omega,\ a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n\in A,$

(*)
$$\omega(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) = \omega_0 a_1 \omega_1 a_2 \omega_2 \ldots a_n \omega_n$$

каде на секое $\omega \in \Omega$ (n) му одговара низа $(\omega_0,\ldots,\omega_n)$ од елементи од S, при што се дозволува некои ω_i да не се јавуваат во (*). Ро работава се разгледуваат повеќе видови полилинеарни сместувања на универзални алгебри во полугрупи, при што главен акцент е ставен на ефикасноста на сместувањето. Основен резултат на работава е Теорема 2.2.