

G. Čupona and B. Janeva

ON FREE OBJECTS IN SOME CLASSES OF FINITE SUBSET STRUCTURES

Introduction

The notions of groupoid and free groupoid are generalized in an unpublished paper [6]. One class of groupoids, generalized in this way, and existence of free objects in various subclasses are investigated in this paper.

Namely, every pair of covariant functors G, H from the category of sets (Set) into itself determines a class of (G, H) -groupoids, defined as follows.

An ordered pair $(Q; f)$, where Q is a nonempty set and f a mapping from $G(Q)$ into $H(Q)$, is said to be a (G, H) -groupoid. Special classes of (G, H) -groupoids are, for example, the class of (binary) groupoids, or, more generally, the class of (n, m) -groupoids, where $G(Q) = Q^n$ and $H(Q) = Q^m$.

If $(Q; f)$ and $(Q'; f')$ are (G, H) -groupoids, a mapping $\varphi: Q \rightarrow Q'$ is said to be a *homomorphism*, if the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Q & \xrightarrow{G} & G(Q) & \xrightarrow{H} & H(Q) \\
 \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow G(\varphi) & & \downarrow H(\varphi) \\
 Q' & \longrightarrow & G(Q') & \longrightarrow & H(Q')
 \end{array}$$

Let $(Q; f)$ be a (G, H) -groupoid, B, P nonempty subsets of Q . P is said to be a *subgroupoid* of $(Q; f)$ iff $f(G(P)) \subseteq H(P)$, and B *generates* $(Q; f)$ iff Q is the unique subgroupoid of $(Q; f)$ containing B .

Having in mind all said above, we obtain that (G, H) -groupoids form a category, where morphisms are the homomorphisms.

The following definition of free (G, H) -groupoids in a class \mathcal{C} of (G, H) -groupoids is formally the same as the usual one. Namely:

$\mathbf{Q} = (Q; f) \in \mathcal{C}$ is a *free* (G, H) -groupoid with a basis B in the class \mathcal{C} iff the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) B generates $(Q; f)$;

(ii) for any (G,H) -groupoid $(Q',f') \in \mathcal{C}$ and any mapping $\lambda : Q \rightarrow Q'$, there exists a homomorphism $\varphi : (Q;f) \rightarrow (Q';f')$ which extends λ .

The following problems for a class \mathcal{C} of (G,H) -groupoids appear to be interesting for investigation.

I. Is any (nonempty) set B a basis of a free (G,H) -groupoid in \mathcal{C} ?

If the answer is positive, then:

II. Is the homomorphism φ uniquely determined by λ ?

III. Are two free (G,H) -groupoids with a same basis isomorphic?

IV. Give a description of a free (G,H) -groupoid with a given basis B in the class \mathcal{C} .

It is shown in [3], [8] and [7], that the answer to each of the questions above is, in general, negative.

In this paper we consider a special class of (G,H) -groupoids, namely the class of (F,F) -groupoids, where for each nonempty set Q , $F(Q)$ is the family of all nonempty finite subsets of Q . All the mentioned problems are investigated for various classes of "associative" (F,F) -groupoids.

Further on, instead of (F,F) -groupoid $(Q;f)$ we will say *object*, using the fact that it is an object in the category of (F,F) -groupoids, but *we will not use any category theory approach in investigating (F,F) -groupoids.*

1. Associative objects

Let Q be a nonempty set, and denote by $F(Q)$ the collection of finite nonempty subsets of Q . We say that $(Q;f)$ is an *object* if f is a transformation of $F(Q)$, i. e. a mapping from $F(Q)$ into $F(Q)$. If $(Q;f)$ and $(Q';f')$ are two objects, then a mapping $\varphi : Q \rightarrow Q'$ is a *homomorphism* from $(Q;f)$ into $(Q';f')$ iff $F(\varphi)f = f'F(\varphi)$, where $F(\varphi) : F(Q) \rightarrow F(Q')$ is the corresponding mapping induced by φ . We will usually write φ instead of $F(\varphi)$.

If $(Q;f)$ is an object and P a nonempty subset of Q such that $X \in F(P) \Rightarrow f(X) \in F(P)$, then we say that P is a *subobject* of $(Q;f)$.

The following statements are clear.

Proposition 1.1. *A nonempty intersection of subobjects of an object $(Q;f)$ is also a subobject of $(Q;f)$.* □

Proposition 1.2. *If $\varphi : Q \rightarrow Q'$ is a bijective homomorphism from $(Q;f)$ into $(Q';f')$ then $\varphi^{-1} : Q' \rightarrow Q$ is a homomorphism from $(Q';f')$ into $(Q;f)$.* □

(In this case we say that φ is an *isomorphism*.)

Proposition 1.3. *A homomorphic image of a subobject is a subobject, and a nonempty inverse homomorphic image of a subobject is a subobject as well.* □

From Proposition 1.1 it follows that if $(Q;f)$ is an object then every nonempty subset B of Q generates a uniquely defined subobject $\langle B \rangle$ of $(Q;f)$.

An object $(Q;f)$ is said to be *associative* if:

$$(\forall X, Y \in F(Q)) f(f(X) \cup Y) = f(X \cup Y). \quad (1.1)$$

Proposition 1.4. *If $(Q;f)$ is an associative object and $*$ is a (binary) operation on $F(Q)$ defined by*

$$(\forall X, Y \in F(Q)) X * Y = f(X \cup Y) \quad (1.2)$$

then

- (i) $(F(Q);*)$ is a commutative semigroup,
- (ii) $(\forall X, Y, Z \in F(Q)) X * (Y \cup Z) = X * Y * Z$,
- (iii) $(\forall X \in F(Q)) f(X) = X * X$.

Conversely, if $(F(Q);*)$ satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) and $f: F(Q) \rightarrow F(Q)$ is defined by (iii), an associative object $(Q;f)$ is obtained, such that (1.2) is satisfied. \square

(We say that $(Q;f)$ and $(F(Q);*)$ are *associated*.)

Note that if $(Q;f)$ is an associative object and $*$ is defined by (1.2), then

$$f(\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}) = a_1 * a_2 * \dots * a_n. \quad (1.3)$$

(Here we do not make any distinction between $\{a\}$ and a , when $a \in Q$.)

Proposition 1.5. *Let $(Q;f)$ and $(Q';f')$ be associative objects, and $(F(Q);*)$ and $(F(Q');*)$ the associated semigroups. Then*

- (i) P is a subobject of $(Q;f)$ iff $F(P)$ is a subsemigroup of $(F(Q);*)$.
- (ii) $\varphi: Q \rightarrow Q'$ is a homomorphism iff $F(\varphi): F(Q) \rightarrow F(Q')$ is a homomorphism, as well. \square

An object $(Q;f)$ is said to be an *m-object* if $f(F(Q)) \subseteq F_m(Q)$, where $F_m(Q) = \{A \in F(Q) \mid |A| \leq m\}$.

Proposition 1.6. *An associative object $(Q;f)$ is an associative m-object iff*

$$(\forall X, Y \in F(Q)) X * Y \in F_m(Q). \quad \square$$

(Then $F_m(Q)$ is an ideal in $(F(Q);*)$.)

Proposition 1.7. *The class of associative objects (m-objects) is hereditary and closed under homomorphic images. \square*

Now we are ready to define a special class of associative m -objects, for every positive integer m . Namely, we say that $(Q;f)$ is an *m-semilattice* iff $(Q;f)$ is an associative m -object such that the corresponding semigroup $(F_m(Q);*)$ is a semilattice.

Having in mind that $X * X = f(X \cup X) = f(X)$, we obtain the following characterization of m -semilattices.

Proposition 1.8. An object $(Q;f)$ is an m -semilattice iff it is an associative m -object with the following property:

$$(\forall X \in F_m(Q)) f(X) = X, \quad (1.4)$$

i. e., f is a retract. \square

Proposition 1.9. The class of m -semilattices is hereditary and closed under homomorphic images. \square

In the special case, when $m=1$, we have the following

Proposition 1.10. $(Q;f)$ is a 1-semilattice iff there is a (uniquely defined) semilattice $(Q;*)$ such that (1.3) holds. Then the following statements are also satisfied:

- (i) P is a subobject of $(Q;f)$ iff P is a subsemilattice of $(Q;*)$.
- (ii) B is a generating subset of $(Q;f)$ iff B is a generating subset of $(Q;*)$.
- (iii) Let $(Q;f)$ and $(Q';f')$ be 1-semilattices. A mapping $\varphi: Q \rightarrow Q'$ is a homomorphism from $(Q;f)$ into $(Q';f')$ iff it is a homomorphism from $(Q;*)$ into $(Q';*)$. \square

Further on we will assume that $m \geq 2$.

From Proposition 1.8 it follows that if $|Q| \leq m$, and if $(Q;f)$ is an m -semilattice, then $f(X) = X$, for every $X \in F(Q)$.

Proposition 1.11. If $(Q;f)$ is an m -semilattice and if $X \in F(Q)$, $|X| > m$, then $|f(X)| = m$.

Proof. Assume that $|f(X)| < m$. Then there exists an $a \in X \setminus f(X)$, and therefore we would have:

$$f(X) \cup a = f(f(X) \cup a) = f(X \cup a) = f(X). \quad \square$$

Example 1.12. Let Q be a set with at least m distinct elements, and let $A \in F(Q)$ be such that $|A| = m$. Then, by

$$f(X) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } |X| > m \\ X & \text{if } |X| \leq m \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

an m -semilattice is defined, and the corresponding associated semigroup $(F(Q);*)$ is defined by

$$X * Y = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } |X \cup Y| > m \\ X \cup Y & \text{if } |X \cup Y| \leq m. \end{cases}$$

We say that $(Q;f)$ is a constant m -semilattice.

Example 1.13. Let $Q = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and let $f: F(Q) \rightarrow F(Q)$ be defined as follows:

$$f(\{a, b, c\}) = \{a, b\},$$

$$|X| \leq 2 \Rightarrow f(X) = X,$$

$$|X| \geq 3, X \neq \{a, b, c\} \Rightarrow f(X) = \{c, d\}.$$

Then we obtain a non-constant 2-semilattice $(Q;f)$, and the corresponding semigroup $(Q;*)$ is defined by

$$X * Y = X \cup Y \text{ if } |X \cup Y| \leq 2,$$

$$X * Y = \begin{cases} \{a, b\} & \text{if } X \cup Y = \{a, b, c\} \\ \{c, d\} & \text{if } |X \cup Y| \geq 3, X \cup Y \neq \{a, b, c\}. \end{cases}$$

2. Free associative m -objects

Let \mathcal{O} be a class of objects.

An object $(Q; f) \in \mathcal{O}$ is said to be a *free object in \mathcal{O} with a basis B* iff the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) B is a generating subset of $(Q; f)$;
- (ii) for every object $(Q'; f') \in \mathcal{O}$ and every mapping $\lambda : B \rightarrow Q'$ there is a homomorphism from $(Q; f)$ into $(Q'; f')$, which is an extension of λ .

The following results are shown in [3].

Proposition 2.1. *There does not exist a free object in the class of all objects.*

Proof. Let $(Q; f)$ be an object and B a nonempty subset of Q , and let $f(a) = A$, where $a \in B$, $A \in F(Q)$. Let P be a nonempty set such that $B \subseteq P$, $|A| < |P|$, and let $(P; g)$ be an object such that $(\forall X \in F(P)) g(X) = C$, where $|A| < |C|$, and C is a given element of $F(P)$. Then the embedding mapping $\lambda : B \rightarrow P$ can not be extended to a homomorphism φ from $(Q; f)$ into $(P; g)$. \square

Proposition 2.2 ([3, Prop. 3.12]). *Let B be a nonempty set, $B \cap \mathbb{N} = \emptyset$ and let a sequence of sets $\{C_\alpha | \alpha \geq 0\}$ be defined by*

$$C_0 = B, \quad C_{p+1} = C_p \cup (\mathbb{N}_m \times F(C_p)), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\mathbb{N}_m = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, and m is a given positive integer. If $S_B = \bigcup \{C_p | p \geq 0\}$, and if an object $(S_B; f)$ is defined by

$$(\forall X \in F(S_B)) f(X) = \{(1, X), \dots, (m, X)\}, \quad (2.2)$$

then $(S_B; f)$ is a free m -object with a basis B in the class of all m -objects.

Moreover, every endomorphism φ of $(S_B; f)$, such that $(\forall b \in B) \varphi(b) = b$ is an automorphism, and the set of all such automorphisms is infinite.

Every free m -object $(Q; f')$ with a basis B is isomorphic to $(S_B; f)$. \square

Proposition 2.3. *The class of associative objects does not contain free members.*

Proof. The object $(P; g)$ from the proof of 2.1 is an associative object. \square

Now we are going to show that there do exist free objects in the class of associative m -objects, and in the class of m -semilattices, as well.

For that purpose we will choose a special subset R_B of the set S_B , which was defined in Proposition 2.2.

Let $y \in S_B$. If p is the least nonnegative integer such that $y \in C_p$, then we write

$\chi(y) = p$ and say that p is the *hierarchy* of y . The hierarchy $\chi(Y)$ of a set $Y \in F(S_B)$ is the number $\max\{\chi(y) | y \in Y\}$.

We will next define a relation α in $F(S_B)$.

(a) If $X, Y \in F(S_B)$, then: $X \alpha Y \Leftrightarrow X \alpha y$, for each $y \in Y$.

Thus, it remains to define the meaning of $X \alpha y$, for $X \in F(S_B)$, and $y \in S_B$, and we will define this relation by induction on the hierarchy of y . (Here we use the notation u for the set $\{u\}$.)

First:

(b) $\chi(y) = 0 \Rightarrow (X \alpha y \Leftrightarrow y \in X)$.

Assume that $u = (i, Y) \in S_B$, $\chi(u) = t \geq 1$, and we have a procedure to determine whether $X \alpha y$, for every $X \in F(S_B)$, $y \in S_B$, such that $\chi(y) < t$. Then $X \alpha u$, iff at least one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(c₁) $u \in X$,

(c₂) $X \alpha y$, for every $y \in Y$.

By induction on hierarchy, it can be easily seen that α is a well defined relation in $F(S_B)$. (If $X \alpha Y$, we say that " X absorbs Y ".)

Proposition 2.4. If $X, Z \in F(S_B)$, $y \in S_B$ are such that $X \subseteq Z$ and $X \alpha y$, then $Z \alpha y$.

Proof. In the case $y \in X$ the conclusion is trivial. Assume that $y = (i, U)$, and that $Z \alpha u$, for every $u \in U$. Therefore, using induction on hierarchy, we obtain $Z \alpha y$. \square

Proposition 2.5. If $X \in F(S_B)$, $y \in S_B \setminus X$ and $X \alpha y$, then there exists a subset Z of X , such that $Z \alpha y$ and $\chi(Z) < \chi(y)$.

Proof. It is clear that $y \notin B$. Therefore $y = (i, U) \in C_{p+1} \setminus C_p$, for some $p \geq 0$, $i \in \mathbb{N}_m$, $U \in F(C_p)$, and we have $X \alpha u$, for every $u \in U$. By induction on hierarchy, we can assume that for every $u \in U$, there is a $Z_u \in X$, such that $Z_u \alpha u$, $\chi(Z_u) \leq \chi(u) \leq p$. If $Z = \bigcup\{Z_u | u \in U\}$, then by Proposition 2.4, we obtain that $Z \alpha u$ for every $u \in U$, and therefore $Z \alpha y$. Moreover, we have $\chi(Z) \leq \chi(U) < \chi(y)$. \square

Now we will define a subset R_B of S_B (we will say that R_B is the set of irreducible elements of S_B) as follows:

1) $B \subseteq R_B$;

2) $u = (i, Y) \in R_B$ iff the following conditions are satisfied:

2.1) $Y \in F(R_B)$,

2.2) there does not exist a $z \in Y$, such that $(Y \setminus z) \alpha z$,

2.3) Y does not contain a subset of the form $\{(1, Z), (2, Z), \dots, (m, Z)\}$.

An $X \in F(R_B)$ is said to be *reducible* iff it satisfies the following conditions:

2.2') there exists a $z \in X$ such that $(X \setminus z) \alpha z$,

or

2.3') there exists a subset of X of the form $\{(1,Z), (2,Z), \dots, (m,Z)\}$.

$X \subseteq R_B$ is *irreducible* iff it is not reducible.

The next step is to define an associative object on R_B . For that purpose we need a definition of *norm* $\|X\|$, $X \in F(R_B)$. It is defined by induction on hierarchy, in the following way:

- 3.1) $\|X\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow X \subseteq B$;
 3.2) $\|(i,X)\| = 1 + \|X\|$;
 3.3) if $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, $|X| = n$, then
 $\|X\| = \|x_1\| + \|x_2\| + \dots + \|x_n\|$.

Now we will define an associative object $(R_B; g)$ as follows:

(i) If $X \in F(R_B)$ is irreducible, then $g(X) = X$.

Assume now that $X \in F(R_B)$ is reducible and for every $Y \in F(R_B)$, such that $\|Y\| < \|X\|$, an irreducible set $g(Y) \in F(R_B)$ is well defined and the following relation holds:

$$g(Y) \neq Y \Leftrightarrow \|g(Y)\| < \|Y\|. \quad (2.3)$$

Consider, first, the case when 2.2') is satisfied, and let

$$X = X_{p_1} \cup \dots \cup X_{p_k}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $p_1 < \dots < p_k$, and $x \in X_{p_v} \Leftrightarrow \chi(x) = p_v$.

By Proposition 2.5, X_{p_1} does not satisfy 2.2'). Let s be the greatest number such that

$$X' = X_{p_1} \cup \dots \cup X_{p_s},$$

does not satisfy 2.2'). Then $1 \leq s < k$. Denote by Z the set of all $z \in X \setminus X'$, such that $X' \alpha z$, and let $Y = X \setminus Z$. Then we have $Z \neq \emptyset$, $Z \cap Y = \emptyset$ and $\|Y\| < \|X\|$. Therefore $g(Y) \in F(R_B)$ is a well defined irreducible set, and now we define $g(X)$ by:

(ii) $g(X) = g(Y)$.

We have $\|g(X)\| = \|g(Y)\| \leq \|Y\| < \|X\|$, i. e. (2.3) holds.

Finally, assume that X does not satisfy 2.2'). Then 2.3') holds, and therefore X has the form

$$X = X' \cup \{(1, Z_1), \dots, (m, Z_1), \dots, (1, Z_k), \dots, (m, Z_k)\},$$

where $X' = \emptyset$ or X' is irreducible and $v \neq \lambda \Rightarrow Z_v \neq Z_\lambda$. Now we have $\|X' \cup Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_k\| < \|X\|$, and thus $g(X)$ can be defined by:

(iii) $g(X) = g(X' \cup Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_k)$.

In this case, we also have

$$\|g(X)\| = \|g(X' \cup Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_k)\| \leq \|X' \cup Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_k\| < \|X\|.$$

Therefore $g : F(R_B) \rightarrow F(R_B)$ is a well defined mapping, such that (2.3) holds for every $Y \in F(R_B)$.

Proposition 2.6. If $y \in X \in F(R_B)$ and $(X \setminus y) \alpha y$, then $g(X) = g(X \setminus y)$.

Proof. In the recursive definition, $g(X)$ is defined by (ii). If $y \notin Z$ (Z is as in (ii) of the definition of g), then by induction on norm we have:

$$g(X) = g(X \setminus Z) = g((X \setminus Z) \setminus y) = g(X \setminus y).$$

If $y \in Z$, then

$$g(X) = g(X \setminus Z) = g((X \setminus Z) \cup (Z \setminus y)) = g(X \setminus y). \quad \square$$

Proposition 2.7. *If $X = X' \cup \{(1, Y), \dots, (m, Y)\} \in F(R_B)$, where $X' = \emptyset$ or $X' \in F(R_B)$, then $g(X) = g(X' \cup Y)$.*

Proof. Assume, first, that $(i, Y) \in X'$, for some $i \in \mathbb{N}_m$. Then we obtain the equation $g(X) = g(X' \cup Y)$ by induction on $\|X\|$. Thus we may assume that the above union is disjoint.

If $(X' \setminus (i, Y)) \alpha (i, Y)$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}_m$, then we have $X' \alpha (i, Y)$, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}_m$, and by Proposition 2.6, we obtain: $g(X) = g(X') = g(X' \cup Y)$.

If $(X' \setminus u) \alpha u$, for some $u \in X'$, then we obtain $g(X) = g(X' \cup Y)$, again by Proposition 2.6.

It remains to consider the case when X does not satisfy 2.2'). Then, if $X' = \emptyset$ or X' is irreducible, the equation $g(X) = g(X' \cup Y)$ follows by (iii); and if

$$X' = X'' \cup \{(1, Z_1), \dots, (m, Z_1), \dots, (1, Z_r), \dots, (m, Z_r)\},$$

where $X'' = \emptyset$ or X'' is irreducible, then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} g(X) &= g(X'' \cup Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_r \cup \{(1, Y), \dots, (m, Y)\}) \\ &= g(X'' \cup Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_r \cup Y) = g(X' \cup Y). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Now we can show the following:

Proposition 2.8. *$(R_B; g)$ is an associative object.*

Proof. If X is irreducible, then $g(X \cup Y) = g(g(X) \cup Y)$. In the case when X is reducible, then by Proposition 2.6 or Proposition 2.7 and an induction on norm we obtain that $g(X \cup Y) = g(g(X) \cup Y)$. \square

Now we are ready to give a construction of a free m -object with a basis B .

First we define an m -object $(R_B; f)$ by

$$f(X) = \{(1, g(X)), \dots, (m, g(X))\}, \quad (2.5)$$

for every $X \in F(R_B)$.

Proposition 2.9. *$(R_B; f)$ is an associative free m -object with a basis B .*

Proof. It is clear that $(R_B; f)$ is an m -object, and, moreover, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f(f(X) \cup Y) &= f(\{(1, g(X)), \dots, (m, g(X))\} \cup Y) = \\ &= \{(i, g(\{(1, g(X)), \dots, (m, g(X))\} \cup Y)) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_m\} = \\ &= \{(i, g(g(X) \cup Y)) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_m\} = \{(i, g(X \cup Y)) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_m\} = \\ &= f(X \cup Y), \end{aligned}$$

i. e., $(R_B; f)$ is associative.

Let P be a subobject of $(R_B; f)$ such that $B \subseteq P$. By induction on hierarchy we will show that $P = R_B$. Assume that $\{u \in R_B \mid \chi(u) \leq \rho\} \subseteq P$, and let $y \in R_B$ be such that $\chi(y) = \rho + 1$. Then $y = (i, Y)$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}_m$, and $Y \in F(R_B)$, where Y is irreducible and $\chi(Y) = \rho$. Thus $Y \subseteq P$, and $g(Y) = Y$. From (2.5) we obtain:

$$f(Y) = \{(1, Y), (2, Y), \dots, (i, Y), \dots, (m, Y)\} \subseteq P,$$

and therefore $(i, Y) \in P$. This implies that $P = R_B$, i. e. that B is a generating subset of $(R_B; f)$.

Let $(Q'; f')$ be an associative m -object and $\lambda : B \rightarrow Q'$ an arbitrary mapping. We will show that there is a homomorphism $\varphi : R_B \rightarrow Q'$, from $(R_B; f)$ into $(Q'; f')$ which is an extension of λ .

Denote by D_ρ the set of all elements x of R_B such that $\chi(x) \leq \rho$, and assume that for every $r \leq \rho$, $\varphi_r : D_r \rightarrow Q'$ is a mapping with the following properties:

- (a) $\varphi_0 = \lambda$;
- (b) φ_r is an extension of φ_{r-1} ;
- (c) $\varphi_r(f(X)) = f'(\varphi_r(X))$,

for every $X \in F(D_r)$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}_\rho$.

Define a mapping $\varphi_{\rho+1} : D_{\rho+1} \rightarrow Q'$ as follows. First $\varphi_{\rho+1}(u) = \varphi_\rho(u)$, for every $u \in D_\rho$. Let $u = (i, X) \in D_{\rho+1}$, i. e. $X \in F(D_\rho)$ is such that $\chi(X) = \rho$. Then $\varphi_\rho(X) \in F(Q')$, $|f'(\varphi_\rho(X))| \leq m$, and

$$f(X) = \{(1, X), (2, X), \dots, (m, X)\}.$$

Therefore, there is a surjective mapping $\psi_X : f(X) \rightarrow f'(\varphi_\rho(X))$. If we choose such a surjective mapping ψ_X for every $X \in F(D_\rho)$, which has a hierarchy ρ , and if we put:

$$\varphi_{\rho+1}(i, X) = \psi_X(i, X),$$

then we obtain a mapping $\varphi_{\rho+1} : D_{\rho+1} \rightarrow Q'$ which is an extension of φ_ρ , and, moreover, (c) is true for $r = \rho + 1$, as well.

In such a way we will obtain a collection of mappings $\{\varphi_\rho : D_\rho \rightarrow Q' \mid \rho \geq 0\}$, such that (a), (b) and (c) are satisfied for every positive integer r . If $\varphi = \bigcup \{\varphi_\rho \mid \rho \geq 0\}$, we obtain a homomorphism from $(R_B; f)$ into $(Q'; f')$ which is an extension of λ . \square

Proposition 2.10. *Every endomorphism of $(R_B; f)$ which is an extension of the embedding mapping from B into R_B is an automorphism, and, moreover (in the case $m \geq 2$) the set of such automorphisms is infinite.*

Proof. Let φ be an endomorphism of $(R_B; f)$ which is an extension of the embedding from B into R_B . By induction on ρ we will show that for every $\rho \geq 0$, φ induces a permutation η_ρ of $T_\rho = \{u \in R_B \mid \chi(u) = \rho\}$. First η_0 is the identity permutation on $T_0 = B$. Assume that if we put $(\forall u \in T_\rho) \varphi(u) = \eta_\rho(u)$, then we obtain a permutation of T_ρ . Let $x \in T_{\rho+1} \setminus T_\rho$. Then $x = (i, X)$, for some $i \in \mathbb{N}_m$, and $X \in F(R_B)$, such that $\chi(X) = \rho$, and moreover, $\chi(\varphi(X)) = \rho$. Then

$$f(X) = \{(1, X), (2, X), \dots, (m, X)\}$$

and this implies

$$\begin{aligned} \{\varphi(1,X), \varphi(2,X), \dots, \varphi(m,X)\} &= \varphi(f(X)) = f'(\varphi(X)) = \\ &= \{(1, \varphi(X)), (2, \varphi(X)), \dots, (m, \varphi(X))\}, \end{aligned}$$

i. e., there is a permutation $\tau \in S_m$, such that $\varphi(v,X) = (\tau(v), \varphi(X))$. Hence, φ induces a permutation η_{p+1} of T_{p+1} , as well. This completes the proof that φ is a permutation of R_B , i. e. an automorphism of $(R_B; f)$.

The fact that there exist infinitely many such automorphisms follows from the last part of the proof of the preceding proposition. \square

Proposition 2.11. *Every free associative m -object with a basis B is isomorphic to $(R_B; f)$.*

Proof. Assume that $(T; f')$ is an arbitrary free associative m -object with a basis B . Then there exist homomorphisms $\varphi: R_B \rightarrow T$, and $\eta: T \rightarrow R_B$, such that $(\forall b \in B) \eta\varphi(b) = b$, and therefore $\eta\varphi$ is a permutation of R_B , which implies that φ is an injective mapping. Then $P = \varphi(R_B)$ is a subobject of $(T; f')$ which is generated by B , and thus $P = T$, whence we obtain that φ is an isomorphism. \square

The object $(R_B; f)$ is not an m -semilattice, because $f(X) \neq X$, for every $X \in F_m(R_B)$. Below we will give a construction of free m -semilattices.

First we note that:

Proposition 2.12. *If $|B| \leq m$, then the trivial m -semilattice on B is a free m -semilattice with a basis B .* \square

Assume now that $|B| > m$, and define a subset L_B of R_B in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} L_0 &= B; \quad L_{p+1} = \{(i, X) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_m, X \in F(L_p), |X| > m\}, \\ L_B &= \bigcup \{L_p \mid p \geq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define an object $(L_B; h)$ as follows. If $X \in F(L_p)$, then:

$$h(X) = \begin{cases} X, & \text{if } |X| \leq m, \\ \{(1, g(X)), (2, g(X)), \dots, (m, g(X))\}, & \text{if } |X| > m. \end{cases}$$

As a corollary of the Propositions 2.9, 2.10, 2.11 and the fact that if $X \in F(L_p)$ and $|X| > m$, then $|g(X)| > m$, we obtain the following statement:

Proposition 2.13. (a) $(L_B; h)$ is a free m -semilattice with a basis B .

(b) Every endomorphism of $(L_B; h)$ that is an extension of the embedding mapping from B into L_B is an automorphism.

(c) Every free m -semilattice with a basis B is isomorphic to $(L_B; h)$. \square

An associative m -object $(Q; f)$ is called an (m, n) -semilattice iff $n \leq m$ and $(\forall X \in F_n(Q)) f(X) = X$. Thus the class of (m, m) -semilattices coincides with the class of m -semilattices.

Assume now that $|B| > n$, where $1 \leq n \leq m$, and define a subset L_B^n of R_B as follows:

$$M_0 = B, M_{p+1} = M_p \cup (\mathbb{N}_m \times \{X \in F(M_p) \mid |X| > n\}),$$

$$L_B^n = \bigcup \{M_p \mid p \geq 0\}.$$

Consider the following m -object $(L_B^n; l)$. If $X \in F(L_B^n)$, then

$$l(X) = \begin{cases} X, & \text{if } |X| \leq n, \\ \{(1, g(X)), (2, g(X)), \dots, (m, g(X))\}, & \text{if } |X| > n. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 2.14. (a) $(L_B^n; l)$ is a free object with a basis B in the class of (m, n) -semilattices.

(b) Every endomorphism of $(L_B^n; l)$ which is an extension of the embedding mapping from B into L_B^n is an automorphism.

(c) Every free (m, n) -semilattice with a basis B is isomorphic to $(L_B^n; l)$. \square

References

- [1] Clifford A. H., Preston G. B.: *The algebraic theory of semigroups, I, II*. Moskva, 1972 (in russian).
- [2] Čupona Ğ., Celakoski N., Markovski S., Dimovski D.: *Vector valued groupoids, semigroups and groups*, "Vector valued semigroups and groups". Macedonian Acad. of Sci. Art, 1988 (1-79).
- [3] Čupona Ğ., Celakoski N.: *Transformations of booleans of sets*. Matem. Bilten 14 (XL) Skopje, 1990 (15-26).
- [4] Čupona Ğ., Dimovski D., Samardžiski A.: *Fully commutative vector valued groups*. Macedonian Acad. of Sci. Art, Prilozl VIII-2, Skopje, 1987 (5-17).
- [5] Čupona Ğ., Markovski S., Dimovski D., Janeva B.: *Introduction to combinatorial theory of vector valued semigroups*, "Vector valued semigroups and groups". Macedonian Acad. of Sci. Art, 1988 (141-185).
- [6] Čupona Ğ., Celakoski N., Markovski S., Janeva B.: *Free objects in generalized groupoids*. (Unpublished).
- [7] Čupona Ğ., Markovski S.: *Free objects in the class of vector valued groupoids induced by semigroups*. (Unpublished).
- [8] Janeva B.: *Free fully commutative vector valued groups*. Proc. of the Conf. "Algebra and Logic", Znanstvena revilja Vol 2, Maribor 1989 (75-86).

Address of the authors

Ğ. Čupona, B. Janeva
 Prirodno matematički fakultet
 p. b. 162, 91000 Skopje
 Yugoslavia