

FREE  $(n,m)$ -GROUPS

Dončo Dimovski\*  
Faculty of Nat. Sci., University of Skopje  
p.b.162,9100 Skopje  
Yugoslavia

Biljana Janeva\*  
Faculty of Nat. Sci., University of Skopje  
p.b.162, 9100 Skopje  
Yugoslavia

Snežana Ilić  
Faculty of Philosophy, University of Niš  
18000 Niš  
Yugoslavia

**Abstract.** In this paper we consider the notion of an  $(n,m)$ -group as a generalization of the notion of a group, and give a combinatorial description of a free  $(n,m)$ -group with a given basis.

Let  $n > m > 0$ . An  $(n,m)$ -semigroup  $(A; f)$  is obtained by giving an associative vector-valued operation  $f: A^n \rightarrow A^m$  on the underlying carrier set  $A$ . The concept of an  $(n,m)$ -group is defined similarly using associative  $(n,m)$ -operation and demanding the solvability of equations (i.e. an associative quasigroup is a group in this new context as well). In this parlance an ordinary group is simply a  $(2,1)$ -group, 2 being the arity of the basic group

---

\*<sup>1</sup> Supported in part by a grant from the Research Council of Makedonija

operation, say  $a \cdot b$ , and  $1$  being the unary arity corresponding to the actual value  $c$  (if  $a \cdot b = c$ ). An  $(n,1)$ -group is just an  $n$ -group.

The class of all  $(n,m)$ -groups form variety and hence free objects do exist. Upto now decent examples of  $(n,m)$ -groups are not always available. The main result of this paper is an explicit combinatorial construction of the free  $(n,m)$ -group with a given basis using groups freely generated by given basis. This result is used to derive two consequences:

(1) Let  $k$  divide  $m$ . Then every  $(m+k,m)$ -group can be embedded in an  $(m+1,m)$ -group.

(2) If  $A$  and  $B$  are two equicardinal sets then the free  $(m+k,m)$ -groups generated by  $A$  and  $B$  are isomorphic.

#### 0. Preliminaries

The notion of vector valued groups is introduced in [1]. A partial answer to the problem of satisfactory description of free vector valued groups is given in [4]. In the last two years we have completely solved this problem. The solution is a combinatorial description of free vector valued groups and is given in this paper. We are grateful to Profesor Čupona for the helpful conversations and suggestions throughout this project.

Now we introduce the basic notions and state the basic results. Let  $Q$  be a nonempty set. For a positive integer  $p$ ,  $Q^p$  denotes the  $p$ -th cartesian power of  $Q$ . Instead of writing  $(a_1, \dots, a_p)$  for an element of  $Q^p$ , we will use the notation  $a_1^p$  and/or  $a_1 \dots a_p$ . With this notation we can identify  $Q^p$  with the subset  $\{a_1 \dots a_p \mid a_i \in Q\}$  of the free se-

migroup  $Q^+$  generated by  $Q$ . (Here,  $a_1 \dots a_p$  denotes the product of  $a_1, \dots, a_p$  in  $Q^+$ .)

Let  $m$  and  $n$  be positive integers with  $n-m=k \geq 1$ . An  $(n,m)$ -operation on  $Q$  is a map  $f: Q^n \rightarrow Q^m$ . The pair  $(Q; f)$  is called an  $(n,m)$ -groupoid. An  $(n,m)$ -groupoid is called  $(n,m)$ -semigroup ([1]), if for every  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , and every  $x_1^{n+k} \in Q^{n+k}$ ,

$$f(x_1^i f(x_{i+1}^{i+n} x_{i+n+1}^{n+k})) = f(f(x_1^n) x_{n+1}^{n+k}). \tag{0.1}$$

An  $(n,m)$ -semigroup is called an  $(n,m)$ -group ([1]), if for each  $a \in Q^k, b \in Q^m$ , the equations

$$f(ax) = b = f(ay) \tag{0.2}$$

have solutions  $x, y \in Q^m$ .

Since the notion of  $(n,1)$ -groups is the same as the notion of  $n$ -groups, and specially for  $n=2$ , is the same as the notions of groups, from now on we consider  $(n,m)$ -groups, only for  $n-m=k \geq 1, m \geq 2$ , and call them vector valued (shortly v.v.) groups.

As the general associative law is valid for v.v. semigroups ([2]), we use the notation  $[\ ]: Q^n \rightarrow Q^m$  instead of  $f: Q^n \rightarrow Q^m$  and  $[a_1^{m+k}]$  instead of  $[\ ]^s(a_1^{m+k})$ , where  $[\ ]^s$  is the  $(m+k,m)$ -operation induced by  $[\ ]$ .

An  $(m+k,m)$ -operation  $[\ ]$  on  $Q$  is equivalent to  $m$   $n$ -ary operations  $[\ ]_1, \dots, [\ ]_m$  on  $Q$  defined by

$$(\forall i \in \mathbb{N}_m) [a_1^{m+k}]_i = b_i \iff [a_1^{m+k}] = b_i^m, \tag{0.3}$$

where  $\mathbb{N}_m = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ .

Let  $Q$  be a nonempty subset of a given group  $G = (G; \cdot)$ . We denote by  $\pi$  the canonical mapping from  $Q^+$  into

$G$  defined by  $\pi(a_1^t) = a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdot \dots \cdot a_t$ , for  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . Its restriction on  $Q^t$  is denoted by  $\pi_t$ , and the image  $\pi(Q^t) = \pi_t(Q^t)$  by  $Q_t$ . It is obvious that  $Q_1 = Q$ , and  $Q_{t+1} = Q_t \cdot Q$ , where  $A \cdot B = \{a \cdot b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ , for  $A, B \subseteq G$ .

We say that  $Q$  is  $t$ -free in  $G$  if the map  $\pi_t: Q^t \rightarrow G$  is injection. Note that if  $Q$  is  $t$ -free in  $G$ , then  $Q$  is  $r$ -free in  $G$  for each  $r \leq t$ . It is obvious that  $Q \subseteq G$  is 1-free in  $G$ .

The subset  $Q$  of  $G$  is said to be  $(m+k, m)$ -subgroup of  $G$  if  $Q$  is  $m$ -free in  $G$ , and for each  $u \in Q_k$ ,  $\langle u \rangle \cdot Q_m = uQ_m = Q_m$ .

Let  $Q$  be an  $(m+k, m)$ -subgroup of  $G$ .

Let  $H = \bigcup_{\alpha \geq 1} Q_\alpha$ , i.e.  $H = \pi(Q^+)$ , and  $0 \leq p < k$  be a positive integer such that  $m+p \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ . Denote by  $Q^{-1}$  the set of inverses of  $Q$  in  $G$ , i.e.  $Q^{-1} = \{a^{-1} \mid a \in Q\}$ . Then

**Proposition 0.1.** (see [3,6]) (a)  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ ;

(b)  $Q_m u = uQ_m = Q_m$ , for each  $u \in Q_{sk}$ ,  $s \geq 1$ ;

(b)  $Q \subseteq Q_{m+p+1}$ ;

(c) If  $p > 0$ , then  $Q^{-1} \subseteq Q_{m+p-1}$ ;  
 if  $p = 0$ , then  $Q^{-1} \subseteq Q_{m+k-1}$ ;

(d)  $H = Q_m \cup Q_{m+1} \cup \dots \cup Q_{m+k-1}$ ,

(e)  $Q_{m+p}$  is a normal subgroup of  $H$ . ■

If  $Q$  generates  $G$ , i.e. if

$$G = Q_m \cup Q_{m+1} \cup \dots \cup Q_{m+k-1}, \tag{0.4}$$

then we say that  $G$  is a covering group for the  $(m+k, m)$ -subgroup  $Q$ . (The subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , above, is a covering group for  $Q$ .) If, moreover,  $G$  satisfies the condition

$$Q_i \cap Q_j = \emptyset, \quad i, j \in \{m, m+1, \dots, m+k-1\}, i \neq j, \quad (0.5)$$

then  $G$  is called the **universal covering group** for the  $(m+k, m)$ -subgroup  $Q$  ([6]). The universal covering group of  $Q$  will be denoted by  $Q^\vee$ .

The following proposition characterizes universal covering groups of an  $(m+k, m)$ -subgroup.

**Proposition 0.2.** ([6])  $G$  is a universal covering group of an  $(m+k, m)$ -subgroup  $Q$  iff  $G$  is a covering group of  $Q$  and  $k$  is the least positive integer such that  $Q$  is an  $(m+k, m)$ -subgroup of  $G$ . ■

Let  $Q$  be an  $(m+k, m)$ -subgroup of a given group  $G=(G; \cdot)$ . If we define an  $(m+k, m)$ -operation  $\llbracket \rrbracket$  on  $Q$  by

$$\llbracket a_1^{m+k} \rrbracket = b_1^m \iff \pi(a_1^{m+k}) = \pi(b_1^m) \quad (0.6)$$

then the pair  $(Q, \llbracket \rrbracket)$  is an  $(m+k, m)$ -group. We say that  $Q=(Q; \llbracket \rrbracket)$  is **induced** by the  $(m+k, m)$ -subgroup  $Q$  of  $G$ . Conversely, for each  $(m+k, m)$ -group  $Q=(Q; \llbracket \rrbracket)$  we can construct a group  $Q^\vee$ , such that  $Q$  is its  $(m+k, m)$ -subgroup, and  $Q^\vee$  is the universal covering group for  $Q$  (see [2]).

### 1. A combinatorial construction of vector valued groups

Let  $G=(G; \circ)$  be a given group generated by a nonempty set  $B$  and let  $B$  be  $m$ -free in  $G$ .

The norm  $\| \cdot \|$ , of the elements of the group  $G$  is defined to be the zero homomorphism from  $G$  into  $\mathbb{N}$ , i.e.  $\|x\|=0$ , for each  $x \in G$ .

We will define, by induction on  $\alpha$ , a chain of sets  $F_0, F_1, \dots, F_\alpha, \dots$ , and a chain of groups  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_\alpha, \dots$ , such that  $F_\alpha$  is a subset of  $G_\alpha$ ,  $F_\alpha$  is  $m$ -free in  $G_\alpha$  and  $G_\alpha$  is generated by  $F_\alpha$ .

Let  $F_0 = B$  and  $G_0 = G$ . Assume that we have already constructed the set  $F_\alpha$  and the group  $G_\alpha$ .

Denote by  $R_\alpha$  the set

$$R_\alpha = \{x \in G_\alpha \setminus G_{\alpha-1} \mid (\exists s \geq 1) (\exists u_1^{m+sk} \in (F_\alpha)^{m+sk}) x = \pi(u_1^{m+sk})\} \setminus (F_\alpha)^m.$$

Then put  $F_{\alpha+1} = F_\alpha \cup (\mathbb{N}_m \times R_\alpha)$ , and  $S_{\alpha+1} = G_\alpha \cup (\mathbb{N}_m \times R_\alpha)^*$ , i.e.  $S_{\alpha+1}$  is the free product in the class of monoids. The element  $u$  of  $S_{\alpha+1}$ ,  $u \neq 1$ , has a unique representation in a canonical form  $u_1 \dots u_s$  where  $u_i \neq 1$ , and  $u_i$  and  $u_{i+1}$  are not in the same component.

Using the canonical form of the elements of the free product  $S_{\alpha+1}$ , we can extend the norm on the elements of  $S_{\alpha+1}$  in the following way:

$\|a\|$  is defined already in  $G_\alpha$  for each  $a \in G_\alpha$ .

$$\|(i, x)\| = 1 + \|x\|;$$

$$\|u_1 \dots u_s\| = \sum_{\nu=1}^s \|u_\nu\|.$$

We choose a special subset  $E$  of  $S_{\alpha+1}$ , whose elements are called **elementary reductions**, by defining  $u$  to be an element of  $E$  iff it has one of the two following forms:

(e1)  $u = (1, x) \dots (m, x)$ , or

(e2)  $u = (i, x) \dots (m, x) x^{-1} (1, x) \dots (i-1, x)$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}_m \setminus \{1\}$ .



We say that an element  $v \in S_{\alpha+1}$  is **reducible** iff  $v = v'uv''$ , where  $u$  is an elementary reduction. Otherwise we say that  $v$  is **reduced**. The set of all reduced elements of  $S_{\alpha+1}$  is denoted by  $G_{\alpha+1}$ .

We shall define a reduction, i.e. a mapping  $\varphi: S_{\alpha+1} \rightarrow G_{\alpha+1}$ , by induction on norm as follows:

$$(0) \quad \varphi(x) = x, \quad x \in G_{\alpha+1}.$$

Let  $\varphi(y)$  be defined for each  $y$  such that  $\|y\| < \|x\|$ , and  $y$  satisfies the following condition

$$\varphi(y) \neq y \Rightarrow \|\varphi(y)\| < \|y\|. \quad (*)$$

If  $x$  has the form  $x = x'ux''$ , where  $u$  is an elementary reduction of the form (e1), i.e.  $u = (1, z) \dots (m, z)$  and  $x'$  is of the least possible norm, then

$$(1) \quad \varphi(x) = \varphi(x'zx'').$$

If  $x = x'ux''$ ,  $x$  does not contain an elementary reduction of the form (e1),  $u$  is an elementary reduction of the form (e2), and  $x'$  is of the least possible norm, then

$$(2) \quad \varphi(x) = \varphi(x'x'').$$

We will give, next, some properties of the mapping  $\varphi$ .

1.1<sup>0</sup>. (a)  $\varphi$  is a well defined mapping, and the condition (\*) is satisfied for each  $x \in S_{\alpha+1}$ .

$$(b) \quad \|\varphi(u)\| \leq \|u\|, \quad u \in S_{\alpha+1}.$$

(c) If  $u = \pi(u_1^r)$ ,  $u_1 \in F_{\alpha+1}$ , then there exists an  $s \geq 1$  and  $v_1^s \in (F_{\alpha+1})^s$  such that  $\varphi(u) = \pi(v_1^s)$  and  $r \equiv s \pmod{k}$ .

$$(d) \quad \varphi(x(1, y) \dots (m, y) z) = \varphi(xyz), \quad x, z \in S_{\alpha+1}, \quad y \in R_{\alpha}.$$

(e)  $\varphi(xuy) = \varphi(xy)$ , where  $u$  is an elementary reduction of the form (e2), and  $x, y \in S_{\alpha+1}$ .

(f)  $\varphi(uvw) = \varphi(u\varphi(v)w)$ ,  $u, v, w \in S_{\alpha+1}$ .

**Proof:** The proof of these properties is by induction on the norm of the elements of  $S_{\alpha+1}$ . Considering the fact that on the right hand side of the equations (1) and (2) of the definition of  $\varphi$ ,  $\varphi$  is applied on elements of  $S_{\alpha+1}$  with norm less than the norm of  $x$ ,  $\varphi$  is a well defined mapping.

Let  $x \in S_{\alpha+1}$ ,  $\varphi(x) \neq x$ , and  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y)$ , where  $\|y\| < \|x\|$ . Then, by the inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\|\varphi(x)\| = \|\varphi(y)\| \leq \|y\| < \|x\|.$$

Using the definition of  $\varphi$ , and  $R_{\alpha}$ , the property (c) can be easily proved.

To prove (d) we need only to consider the case when  $x$  is reducible element of the form (e1). Let  $x = x'(1, t) \dots (m, t)x''$ , where  $x'$  has the least possible norm. Then using the inductive hypothesis, and the definition of  $\varphi$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x(1, y) \dots (m, y)z) &= \varphi(x'(1, t) \dots (m, t)x''(1, y) \dots (m, y)z) = \\ &= \varphi(x'tx''(1, y) \dots (m, y)z) = \varphi(x'tx''yz) = \varphi(xyz). \end{aligned}$$

Considering the following cases:

1.  $x$  is reduced, and  $y$  does not contain an elementary reduction of the form (e1);
2.  $x$  is reduced, but  $y$  is reducible of the form (e1);
3.  $x$  is reducible of the form (e1);



4.  $x$  contains an elementary reduction of the form (e2).

$$5. \quad x = x'(i, t) \dots (r, t), \\ y = (r, t) \dots (m, t) t^{-1}(1, t) \dots (r-1, t).$$

$$6. \quad x = x'(i, t) \dots (m, t) t^{-1}(1, t) \dots (r, t), \\ y = (r+1, t) \dots (m, t) t^{-1}(1, t) \dots (r, t),$$

where  $r < i$ .

the definition of  $\varphi$  and induction on the norm, we can easily obtain the proof of (e).

(f) is a consequence of (d) and (e). ■

Using the reduction  $\varphi$ , we define an operation  $*$  on  $G_{\alpha+1}$  by

$$x * y = \varphi(xy).$$

Then:

1.2<sup>o</sup> (a)  $G_{\alpha+1} = (G_{\alpha+1}; *)$  is a group generated by  $F_{\alpha+1}$ ;

(b)  $F_{\alpha+1}$  is  $m$ -free in  $G_{\alpha+1}$ ;

(c)  $G_{\alpha}$  is a subgroup of  $G_{\alpha+1}$ .

**Proof:** From the construction it follows that  $G_{\alpha+1}$  is a monoid generated by  $F_{\alpha+1}$ .

Let  $(i, x) \in F_{\alpha+1}$ . Then

$$(i, x)^{-1} = (i+1, x) \dots (m, x) x^{-1}(1, x) \dots (i-1, x).$$

Thus  $G_{\alpha+1}$  is a group generated by  $F_{\alpha+1}$ .

By the construction of  $G_{\alpha+1}$ , it follows that  $G_{\alpha} \leq G_{\alpha+1}$ .

It remains to prove the property (b), i.e. that  $F_{\alpha+1}$  is  $m$ -free in  $G_{\alpha+1}$ .

Let  $u_\nu, v_\nu \in F_{\alpha+1}$ , and let  $u_1^* \dots^* u_m = v_1^* \dots^* v_m$  in  $G_{\alpha+1}$ . Then  $\varphi(u_1 \dots u_m) = \varphi(v_1 \dots v_m)$ . If both  $u_1 \dots u_m$  and  $v_1 \dots v_m$  are reducible elements of  $S_{\alpha+1}$ , i.e.  $u_\nu = (\nu, x)$ ,  $v_\nu = (\nu, y)$ ,  $\nu \in N_m$ ,  $x, y \in R_\alpha$ , then we have  $\varphi(u_1 \dots u_m) = x$  and  $\varphi(v_1 \dots v_m) = y$ , i.e.  $x = y$ , hence  $(\nu, x) = (\nu, y)$ ,  $\nu \in N_m$ .

If  $u_1 \dots u_m$  is reduced and  $v_1 \dots v_m$  is not, then  $v_\lambda = (\lambda, y)$ , and  $\varphi(v_1 \dots v_m) = y \in R_\alpha$ . Thus  $u_1 \dots u_m \in R_\alpha$ , which contradicts the definition of  $R_\alpha$ .

If  $u_\nu, v_\nu \in F_\alpha$ , then the claim is true, as  $F_\alpha$  is  $m$ -free in  $G_\alpha \leq G_{\alpha+1}$ . It remains to consider the case when at least one  $u_\nu$  is an element of  $F_{\alpha+1} \setminus F_\alpha$ . Then there is a  $\lambda \in N_m$ , such that  $v_\lambda \in F_{\alpha+1} \setminus F_\alpha$ . Let

$$u_1 \dots u_m = u_1 \dots u_{j_0} (i_1, x_1) u_{j_0+2} \dots u_{j_1} (i_2, x_2) \dots u_{j_{s-1}} (i_s, x_s) \dots u_{j_s}$$

and

$$v_1 \dots v_m = v_1 \dots v_{l_0} (i_1, x_1) v_{l_0+2} \dots v_{l_1} (i_2, x_2) \dots v_{l_{s-1}} (i_s, x_s) \dots v_{l_s}$$

where  $s < m$ ,  $0 \leq j_0, l_0 \leq m-1$ ,  $j_s = l_s = m$ .

As  $u_1 \dots u_m, v_1 \dots v_m$  are elements of the free product of monoids, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & u_1 \dots u_{j_0} u_{j_0+2} \dots u_{j_1} \dots u_{j_{s-1}} u_{j_{s-1}+2} \dots u_{j_s} = \\ & = v_1 \dots v_{l_0} v_{l_0+2} \dots v_{l_1} \dots v_{l_{s-1}} v_{l_{s-1}+2} \dots v_{l_s} \end{aligned}$$

in  $G_\alpha$ . The products on both, the left and right hand sides of the equation have  $m-s$  elements. Thus, as  $F_\alpha$  is  $m$ -free in  $G_\alpha$ , it is  $m-s$ -free in  $G_\alpha$ , as well, and we obtain that  $u_\lambda = v_\lambda$ , for every  $\lambda \in N_{m-s}$ . Hence we have proved that  $F_{\alpha+1}$  is  $m$ -free in  $G_{\alpha+1}$ . ■

$$\text{Let } \bar{G} = \bigcup_{\alpha \geq 0} G_\alpha \quad \text{and } F = \bigcup_{\alpha \geq 0} F_\alpha.$$

As  $\bar{G}$  is a union of a chain of groups it is a group. Using induction on  $\alpha$ , and 1.2<sup>o</sup>, we obtain the following proposition:

1.3<sup>o</sup> (a)  $\bar{G} = (\bar{G}; *)$  is a group generated by F;

(b) F is m-free in  $\bar{G}$ . ■

Using the 1.3<sup>o</sup>(b) and the operation \* defined in  $\bar{G}$ , we can define an (m+k,m)-operation [] on F by

$$[u_1^{m+k}] = v_1^m \Leftrightarrow u_1 * u_2 * \dots * u_{m+k} = v_1 * v_2 * \dots * v_m. \quad (1.1)$$

Then

1.4<sup>o</sup> (a)  $F = (F; [])$  is an (m+k,m)-group.

(b) F is an (m+k,m)-subgroup of  $\bar{G}$ , and  $\bar{G}$  is its covering group.

**Proof:** (a) follows from (b). Considering 1.3<sup>o</sup>, it remains to prove that

$(\forall u_\mu, v_\lambda \in F) (\exists x, y \in \bar{G}) u_1 * \dots * u_k * x = v_1 * \dots * v_m, y * u_1 * \dots * u_k = v_1 * \dots * v_m$  such that  $x = w_1 * \dots * w_m, y = t_1 * \dots * t_m$ , for some  $w_\tau, t_\tau \in F$ .

Let  $u_\mu, v_\lambda \in F$  be given, and take  $w_\tau = (\tau, u_k^{-1} \dots u_1^{-1} v_1 \dots v_m)$   $t_\tau = (\tau, v_1 \dots v_m u_k^{-1} \dots u_1^{-1})$ . Then  $x = w_1 * \dots * w_m, y = t_1 * \dots * t_m$  are elements of  $\bar{G}$  with the required property. ■

### 2. Free (m+k,m)-groups

The construction given in the previous part will be used to construct a free (m+k,m)-group with a given basis A. Two cases arise. Namely, if k is a divisor of m, and if k is not a divisor of m. The construction differs only in

the choice of the starting group  $G_0$ . We will first give a lemma.

Let  $F=(F;[])$  be the  $(m+k,m)$ -group obtained by the construction, such that  $F^\vee=\bar{G}$ .

**Theorem 2.1<sup>0</sup>.** Let  $Q=(Q;[])$  be an  $(m+k,m)$ -group,  $\lambda:B\rightarrow Q$  a mapping and let there exist a unique homomorphism  $\zeta_0:G_0\rightarrow Q^\vee$  which is an extension of  $\lambda$ . Then there exists a unique  $(m+k,m)$ -homomorphism  $\zeta:F\rightarrow Q$  which is an extension of  $\lambda$ .

**Proof:** By induction on  $\alpha$ , we construct a sequence  $\zeta_\alpha$  of mappings from  $G_\alpha$  into  $Q^\vee$ .

Assume that a unique homomorphism  $\zeta_{\alpha-1}:G_{\alpha-1}\rightarrow Q^\vee$  has already been constructed. As  $S_\alpha=G_{\alpha-1} \amalg (\mathbb{N}_m \times R_{\alpha-1})^*$ , there exists a unique homomorphism  $\zeta'_\alpha$  from  $S_\alpha$  into  $Q^\vee$  which is an extension of both  $\zeta_{\alpha-1}$  and the homomorphism  $\mu_\alpha$  from the free monoid  $(\mathbb{N}_m \times R_{\alpha-1})^*$  into  $Q^\vee$ , where  $\mu_\alpha$  is the unique homomorphique extension of the mapping  $\zeta_{\alpha-1}:\mathbb{N}_m \times R_{\alpha-1} \rightarrow Q^\vee$ , defined by:

$$\zeta_{\alpha-1}(i, \gamma) = [\zeta_{\alpha-1}(\gamma)]_i.$$

Define  $\zeta_\alpha$  to be the restriction of  $\zeta'_\alpha$  on  $G_\alpha$ .

Then, by induction on the norm,  $\zeta'_\alpha(x) = \zeta'_\alpha(\phi(x))$ , which implies that  $\zeta_\alpha$  is a homomorphism from  $G_\alpha$  into  $Q^\vee$ , and by the inductive definition of  $\zeta_\alpha$ , that it is a unique one with the required properties. If we denote by  $\zeta'$  the homomorphism induced by  $\zeta_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ , then the restriction  $\zeta$  of  $\zeta'$  over  $F$  is the unique homomorphique extension of the mapping  $\lambda$ . ■

As a consequence of this theorem and the construction, we obtain a construction of a free  $(m+k,m)$ -group with a basis  $A$ .

**Theorem 2.2<sup>o</sup>** (a) If  $k$  is not divisor of  $m$ , and we choose  $G_0$  to be the free group with the basis  $A$ , then the  $(m+k,m)$ -group  $F$  obtained by the given construction is a free  $(m+k,m)$ -group with a basis  $A$  ([8]).

(b) If  $k$  is a divisor of  $m$ , and we choose  $G_0$  to be the free product  $H \amalg C_m$ , where  $H$  is the free group with a basis  $A$ , and  $C_m$  is a cyclic group of order  $m$  generated by  $e \notin A$ , then the  $(m+k,m)$ -group  $F$  obtained by the given construction is a free  $(m+k,m)$ -group with a basis  $A$  ([7]). ■

Note that in 2.2<sup>o</sup>(b)  $A$  could be an empty set as well.

The difference between the case (a) and (b) in the previous theorem arise from the fact that in (b) the unity  $e$  of the covering group could be written in the form  $e_1 \dots e_m$ , where  $e_i \in F$ .

Let  $Q=(Q;[1])$  be an  $(m+k,m)$ -group such that  $k$  is a divisor of  $m$ , choose  $G_0$  to be  $Q^\vee$ , and using the procedure given in 1, construct an  $(m+1,m)$ -group. Then the  $(m+1,m)$ -group  $F=(F;[1])$  thus obtained is such that  $Q \subseteq F$ , and  $[a_1^{m+k}] = [a_1^{m+k}]$ , for each  $a_v \in Q$ . Thus, we have the following:

**Theorem 2.3<sup>o</sup>** (Post theorem for v.v. groups when  $k$  is divisor of  $m$  [7]) Let  $Q=(Q;[1])$  be an  $(m+k,m)$ -group, such that  $k$  is divisor of  $m$ . Then there exists an  $(m+1,m)$ -

group  $P=(P; \{\})$  such that  $Q \subseteq P$ , and for any  $a_\lambda \in Q$ ,  $\lambda \in \{1, 2, \dots, m+sk\}$ ,  $s \geq 1$

$$[a_1^{m+sk}] = [a_1^{m+sk}]. \blacksquare$$

Finally, let us give some remarks on the cardinality of the basis of a free v.v. group.

From the construction of the free  $(m+k, m)$ -group  $F$  with a basis  $A$ , it follows that:

2.4<sup>o</sup> If the cardinality of  $A$  is infinite, then  $F$  and  $A$  have the same cardinality.  $\blacksquare$

2.5<sup>o</sup> If  $A$  and  $B$  are equivalent sets, then the free  $(m+k, m)$ -groups  $F_A$  and  $F_B$  with basis  $A$  and  $B$  respectively, are isomorphic.  $\blacksquare$

Using the known Fudzivara theorem ([9]) for free algebras, we obtain the following

2.6<sup>o</sup> Let  $F_A$  and  $F_B$  are isomorphic free  $(m+k, m)$ -groups with basis  $A$  and  $B$  respectively. If one of the basis is infinite, then  $A$  and  $B$  are equivalent.  $\blacksquare$

The open question is for the case when the basis are finite. We do not know whether isomorphic free  $(m+k, m)$ -groups  $F_A$  and  $F_B$  with finite basis  $A$  and  $B$  have the property that  $A$  and  $B$  are equivalent, i.e. have the same number of elements.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Čupona G.: Vector valued semigroups; Semigroup forum vol.26 (1983), 65-74.



- [2] Čupona Ć., Celakoski N., Markovski S., Dimovski D.: Vector valued groupoids, semigroups and groups; "Vector valued semigroups and groups", Maced. Acad. of Sci. and Arts (1988), 1-78.
- [3] Čupona Ć., Dimovski D., Samardžiski A.: Fully commutative vector valued groups; preprint.
- [4] Dimovski D.: Free  $(n+1,n)$ -groups; Vector valued semigroups and groups, MANU (1988) 103-122.
- [5] Dimovski D.: Groups with unique product structure, in print.
- [6] Markovski S., Janeva B.: Post and Hosszu-Gluskin Theorem for vector valued groups; Proc. Conf. "Algebra and Logic", Sarajevo 1987.
- [7] Ilić S.: Za nekoj klasi vektorsko vrednosni grupi, doktorska disertacija, Skopje 1989.
- [8] Janeva B.: Nekoj klasi poliadični polugrupi i grupi, doktorska disertacija, Skopje 1989.
- [9] Скорняков Л.А.: Элементы общей алгебры; "Наука" Москва (1983).

Received: March 1990

Revised: September 1990