

A NOTE ON HOMEOMORPHISMS

ZBIGNIEW DUSZYŃSKI

Abstract. Two topologies τ_w and τ'_w determined by a given topology τ on X are considered. Relation between homeomorphisms on (X, τ) and homeomorphisms on (X, τ_w) or (X, τ'_w) are indicated.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the present paper (X, τ) , (Y, σ) mean topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. The closure and the interior of a subset S in (X, τ) are denoted by $\text{cl}(S)$ and $\text{int}(S)$ respectively. A subset S of (X, τ) is said to be **semi-open** [6] (resp. **semi-closed** [1, Theorem 1.1]) if there exists an open set O with $O \subset S \subset \text{cl}(O)$ (resp. if there exists a closed F with $\text{int}(F) \subset S \subset F$). The family of all semi-open (resp. semi-closed) subsets of (X, τ) is denoted as $\text{SO}(X, \tau)$ (resp. $\text{SC}(X, \tau)$). In [6, Theorem 7] Levine proved that if $A \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$, then $A = G \cup N$ for a certain $G \in \tau$ and a certain nowhere dense N . Dłaska et al. made a deeper remark [3, Sec.1, p.1163]: $A \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ if and only if $A = G_A \cup N_A$ with G_A being a suitable open set and a nowhere dense $N_A \subset \text{Fr}(G_A)$ ($\text{Fr}(S)$ stands for the boundary of S).

The remark of Dłaska et al. [3] concerning representation of semi-open sets can be reformulated as follows.

Lemma 1. [5]. *Let (X, τ) be a topological space. Then, $A \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ if and only if $A = \text{int}(A) \cup N$ for a certain $N \subset \text{Fr}(\text{int}(A))$.*

The reader is advised to compare the following lemma to Lemma 1.

Lemma 2. [5]. *For any space (X, τ) , $B \in \text{SC}(X, \tau)$ if and only if there exist $F \in \text{c}(\tau)$ and $M \subset X$ with*

- (1) $B = \text{int}(F) \cup M$ and
- (2) $M \subset \text{Fr}(F)$.

2. HOMEOMORPHISMS

Lemma 3. *Let (X, τ) be any topological space. Let $\hat{\tau}_w$ denote the family of all subsets of X of the form $X \setminus (N \cup \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} G_\alpha)$, where A is arbitrary, $G_\alpha \in \tau$ for*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54C08.

Key words and phrases. semi-open, semi-closed, nowhere dense sets; homeomorphism, semi-homeomorphism.

each $\alpha \in A$, and N is nowhere dense in (X, τ) . Then $\hat{\tau}_w$ is a basis for a certain topology, designed as τ_w , on X .

Proof. One easily checks that $\emptyset, X \in \hat{\tau}_w$. Consider arbitrary

$V_1 = X \setminus (N_1 \cup \bigcap_{\alpha \in A_1} G_\alpha) \in \hat{\tau}_w$ and $V_2 = X \setminus (N_2 \cup \bigcap_{\beta \in A_2} G_\beta) \in \hat{\tau}_w$. We have (use [4, Theorem 4.2(1)])

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 \cap V_2 &= X \setminus \left[\left(N_1 \cup \bigcap_{\alpha \in A_1} G_\alpha \right) \cup \left(N_2 \cup \bigcap_{\beta \in A_2} G_\beta \right) \right] = \\ &= X \setminus \left[(N_1 \cup N_2) \cup \bigcap_{(\alpha, \beta) \in A_1 \times A_2} (G_\alpha \cup G_\beta) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $V_1 \cap V_2 \in \hat{\tau}_w$. \square

Now, we offer a few results related to the just introduced topology τ_w . Recall that a function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be *irresolute* [2] if $f^{-1}(U) \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ for each $U \in \text{SO}(Y, \sigma)$.

Lemma 4. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any topological spaces. If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is irresolute, then $\hat{f}: (X, \tau_w) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_w)$ is continuous, where $\hat{f}(x) = f(x)$ for each $x \in X$.*

Proof. Let $T = Y \setminus (N \cup \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} G_\alpha)$ be any member of $\hat{\sigma}_w$, where N is nowhere dense in (Y, σ) and $G_\alpha \in \sigma$ for each $\alpha \in A$. Then

$$\hat{f}^{-1}(T) = X \setminus \left(\hat{f}^{-1}(N) \cup \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \hat{f}^{-1}(G_\alpha) \right),$$

where $\hat{f}^{-1}(N) \in \text{SC}(X, \tau)$ and $\hat{f}^{-1}(G_\alpha) \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ for each $\alpha \in A$, because f is irresolute. Obviously, for certain nowhere dense (in (X, τ)) M and M_α , and $O, O_\alpha \in \tau$ ($\alpha \in A$) we have

$$\hat{f}^{-1}(T) = X \setminus \left((O \cup M) \cup \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (O_\alpha \cup M_\alpha) \right) = X \setminus \left[\left(M \cup \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} M_\alpha \right) \cup \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (O \cup O_\alpha) \right].$$

This shows that $\hat{f}^{-1}(T) \in \hat{\tau}_w$. Thus the proof is complete. \square

A function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is *pre-semi-open* [2] if $f(V) \in \text{SO}(Y, \sigma)$ for each $V \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$.

Lemma 5. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any topological spaces. If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a pre-semi-open bijection, then the function $\hat{f}: (X, \tau_w) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_w)$, defined as in Lemma 4, is open.*

Proof. By hypothesis, the inverse function $f^{-1}: (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is irresolute, So, by Lemma 4, $(\hat{f}^{-1}): (Y, \sigma_w) \rightarrow (X, \tau_w)$ is continuous. Therefore, $\hat{f}: (X, \tau_w) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_w)$ is open. \square

A function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be a **semi-homeomorphism** [2] if it is irresolute, pre-semi-open, and bijective.

Theorem 1. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be arbitrary and let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a function. We have the following sequence of implications: f is a homeomorphism $\xrightarrow{(1)}$ f is an open semi-homeomorphism $\xrightarrow{(2)}$ f is a semi-homeomorphism $\xrightarrow{(3)}$ $\hat{f}: (X, \tau_w) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_w)$ is a homeomorphism, where none of them need not be reversible.*

Proof. (1) is clear by [2, Theorem 1.9], while (3) follows from Lemmas 4 and 5. For non-reversibility of (1) (resp. (2); (3)) we refer to Example 1 below (resp. [2, Example 1.1], Example 2 below). \square

Example 1. *Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$, $\sigma = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$. The identity $\text{id}: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$ is an open semi-homeomorphism, but it is not a homeomorphism.*

Example 2. *Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}\}$, $\sigma = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$. Then, the identity $\hat{\text{id}}: (X, \tau_w) \rightarrow (X, \sigma_w)$ is a homeomorphism, but $\text{id}: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$ is not open.*

Remark that openness and semi-homeomorphy are independent notions.

Example 3. (a). *Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$ and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$. The identity $\text{id}: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$ is open and it is not a semi-homeomorphism.*

(b). [2, Example 1.1] guarantees the existence of a semi-homeomorphism which is not open.

Remark 1. Note that implications (1) and (2) in Theorem 1 may be substituted by the following: f is a homeomorphism $\xrightarrow{(1')}$ f is a continuous semi-homeomorphism $\xrightarrow{(2')}$ f is a semi-homeomorphism. The converses of (1') and (2') may not be true, as seen by respective examples below.

Example 4. *Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$, $\sigma = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$. Then $\text{id}: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$ is a continuous semi-homeomorphism, but it is not a homeomorphism, since $\text{id}(\{a, b\}) \notin \sigma$.*

Example 5. *Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{b, c\}\}$, $\sigma = \{\emptyset, Y, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$. The identity $\text{id}: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$ is a semi-homeomorphism, but it is not continuous.*

Continuity and semi-homeomorphy are independent of each other. Because of Example 5, it is enough to recommend the following one.

Example 6. *Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$ and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$. Then $\text{id}: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$ is continuous while it is not a semi-homeomorphism ($\text{id}(\{b, c\}) \notin \text{SO}(X, \sigma)$).*

With the following lemma, the topology τ'_w was introduced in [5].

Lemma 6. *Let (X, τ) be any topological space. Let $\hat{\tau}'_w$ denote the family of all subsets of X of the form $X \setminus \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} (G_\alpha \cup N_\alpha)$, where A is arbitrary, $G_\alpha \in \tau$, and N_α is nowhere dense in (X, τ) for each $\alpha \in A$. Then $\hat{\tau}'_w$ is a basis for a certain topology, designed as τ'_w , on X .*

Lemmas 7&8 below can be obtained similarly as Lemmas 4&5 respectively.

Lemma 7. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any topological spaces. If $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is irresolute, then $\hat{f}' : (X, \tau'_w) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma'_w)$ is continuous, where $\hat{f}'(x) = f(x)$ for each $x \in X$.*

Lemma 8. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any topological spaces. If $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a pre-semi-open bijection, then the function $\hat{f}' : (X, \tau'_w) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma'_w)$ obtained as in Lemma 7, is open.*

Theorem 2. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be arbitrary and let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a function. If f is a homeomorphism, then $\hat{f}' : (X, \tau'_w) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma'_w)$ is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. Lemmas 7&8. □

Example 2 shows that the implication in Theorem 2 may be irreversible.

Problem. Indicate a space (X, τ) such that the topologies τ_w and τ'_w considered above, are different.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. G. Crossley, S. K. Hildebrand, *Semi-closure*, Texas J. Sci., **22**(2-3) (1971), 99–112.
- [2] C. G. Crossley, S. K. Hildebrand, *Semi-topological properties*, Fund. Math., **74** (1972), 233–254.
- [3] K. Dlaska, N. Ergun, M. Ganster, *On the topology generated by semi-regular sets*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **25**(11) (1994), 1163–1170.
- [4] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn&Bacon, Inc., Boston 1966.
- [5] Z. Duszyński, T. Noiri, *Semi-open, semi-closed sets and semi-continuity of functions*, submitted.
- [6] N. Levine, *Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **70** (1963), 36–41.

CASIMIRUS THE GREAT UNIVERSITY
 INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
 PL. WEYSSENHOFFA 11
 85-072 BYDGOSZCZ
 POLAND